

ASGE guideline: the role of ERCP in diseases of the biliary tract and the pancreas

Guidelines for the practice of endoscopy are developed by the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy by using an evidence based methodology. A literature search is performed to identify relevant studies on the topic. Each study is then reviewed for both methodology and results. Controlled clinical trials are emphasized, but information is also obtained from other study designs and clinical reports. In the absence of data expert opinion is considered. When appropriate, the guidelines are submitted to other professional organizations for review and endorsement. As new information becomes available revision of these guidelines may be necessary.

These guidelines are intended to apply equally to all who perform GI endoscopic procedures, regardless of specialty or location of the service. Practice guidelines are meant to address general issues of endoscopic practice. By their nature they cannot encompass all clinical situations. They must be applied in the appropriate context for an individual patient. Clinical considerations may justify a course of action at variance to these recommendations.

INTRODUCTION

ERCP was first reported in 1968¹ and was soon accepted as a safe, direct technique for evaluating biliary and pancreatic disease. With the introduction of endoscopic sphincterotomy in 1974,^{2,3} therapeutic pancreaticobiliary endoscopy subsequently was developed. ERCP is now widely available.

ERCP has evolved from a diagnostic procedure to an almost exclusively therapeutic procedure. Other imaging techniques, such as US, CT, magnetic resonance imaging, EUS, and intraoperative cholangiography, provide diagnostic information that allows selection of patients for therapeutic ERCP.⁴ ERCP is not indicated in the evaluation of abdominal pain of obscure origin in the absence of other objective findings, suggesting biliary-tract disease.^{5,6} The role of ERCP with biliary manometry remains controversial in patients with biliary-type pain but without any objective signs or laboratory abnormalities.

ERCP usually is performed, often in an outpatient setting, with intravenous sedation and analgesia for the patient. Endoscopists who perform ERCP should have appropriate training and expertise.⁴ Although few data are available to assess operator skills in performing ERCP, competence in consistently performing deep common bile duct cannulation may not routinely be achieved until the performance of at least 200 ERCPs.⁴ The endoscopist must be prepared and competent to perform therapeutic intervention at the time of ERCP.⁷

Preprocedure coagulation studies are not routinely indicated but should be considered in selected patients, such as those with a history of coagulopathy or prolonged cholestasis.⁸ Coagulopathy should be corrected if sphincterotomy is anticipated. Antibiotic prophylaxis is indicated in the setting of suspected biliary obstruction, known pancreatic pseudocyst, or ductal leaks.⁹

BILIARY TRACT DISEASE

ERCP is particularly useful in the management of the jaundiced patient with biliary obstruction because of choledocholithiasis and strictures. Successful endoscopic cholangiography with relief of obstruction should be technically achievable in more than 90% of patients.⁴ Cholangioscopy at ERCP is used infrequently but may be helpful in the management of bile-duct stones and in assessing suspected malignancies.¹⁰

Choledocholithiasis

The most common source of biliary obstruction is choledocholithiasis. Such patients may present with biliary colic, obstructive jaundice, cholangitis, or pancreatitis. The sensitivity and the specificity of ERCP for detecting common duct stones is over 95%; small stones occasionally are missed.⁴ Careful injection of contrast and early radiographs may help to detect stones, which avoids overfilling the ducts or pushing stones into the intrahepatic ducts. The accidental instillation of air bubbles into the duct by the injection catheter can lead to misdiagnosis of stones. If common bile duct stones found at the time of laparoscopic cholecystectomy cannot be removed, ERCP and stone extraction can be performed after surgery.¹¹ Preoperative ERCP may be indicated when persistent jaundice, elevated liver enzymes, persistent or worsening pancreatitis, or cholangitis is present.⁴ ERCP

with biliary decompression is the procedure of choice for the treatment of acute cholangitis.¹² Urgent ERCP also is indicated in selected patients with severe gallstone pancreatitis and suspected biliary obstruction.¹²

Therapy for choledocholithiasis

Endoscopic sphincterotomy and stone extraction is successful in more than 90% of cases, with an overall complication rate of approximately 5% and a mortality rate of less than 1% in expert hands.¹² These results compare favorably to most surgical series. In cases of failed primary biliary cannulation, pre-cut (e.g., needle knife) papillotomy or a combined percutaneous/endoscopic approach may be necessary. The complication rates associated with these techniques are higher than for standard extraction techniques, reflecting greater technical difficulty.¹³ An alternative to biliary sphincterotomy is balloon dilation of the biliary sphincter (balloon sphincteroplasty). This may be an alternative to biliary sphincterotomy in selected patients with common bile duct stones, e.g., underlying coagulopathy, albeit with a higher risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis.^{14,15}

Stone removal usually is accomplished with soft Fogarty-type balloons or wire baskets. Occasionally, large or impacted stones may be difficult to remove. Fragmentation of large stones and the management of impacted baskets with entrapped stones can be facilitated by the use of mechanical lithotriptors.¹⁶ If stone removal is unsuccessful, biliary decompression should be accomplished with a stent or a nasobiliary drain.

Endoscopic therapy (sphincterotomy and stone extraction) without subsequent cholecystectomy may be the preferred procedure in selected patients with comorbid conditions that increase their surgical risk. Biliary symptoms recur twice as commonly in patients whose gallbladder remains in situ.¹⁷ In some studies, the 5-year risk of serious biliary complications leading to cholecystectomy is 10-15%.^{4,18}

Malignant and benign biliary strictures

ERCP is useful in the assessment and the treatment of malignant biliary obstruction. The presence of a "shelf" instead of a smooth taper to the stricture can suggest a malignant etiology (although the "shelf" can be present in patients with a normal sphincter of Oddi). Biopsies, brushings, and FNA may yield a definitive tissue diagnosis, but the combined sensitivity is no higher than 62%.^{19,20}

ERCP is indicated for the evaluation and the treatment of benign bile-duct strictures, congenital bile-duct abnormalities, and postoperative complications. This applies to patients with biliary obstruction after liver transplantation.^{21,22} Endoscopic sphincterotomy may successfully treat cholangitis or pancreatitis because of a choledochocoele and choledochal cysts, or the sump syndrome after a side-to-side choledochoduodenostomy.

Stricture dilation

Benign biliary strictures may be dilated with hydrostatic balloons or a graduated catheter passed over a guidewire. Indications for endoscopic dilation of benign strictures include postoperative strictures, dominant strictures in sclerosing cholangitis, chronic pancreatitis, and stomal narrowing after choledochoenterostomy.²³ Stent placement may be used to maintain patency after initial dilation when using single or multiple endoscopic prostheses.^{20,24} Serial endoscopic dilations and stent placement can be used to achieve prolonged ductal patency in benign strictures secondary to chronic pancreatitis²⁵ and postoperative strictures.²³

Although early results with this technique in patients with biliary strictures secondary to chronic pancreatitis are encouraging, long-term results tend to be poor, with mixed success rates but with some as low as 10%.^{26,27} In addition, in the subgroup of patients with calcification of the pancreatic head, outcomes were even worse, with only 7.7% of patients in one large study achieving clinical success at 1 year.²⁷ Placement of multiple plastic stents to dilate and to treat chronic biliary strictures caused by chronic pancreatitis is a viable option but has been associated with rare cases of death from biliary sepsis.²⁸ In addition, even patients with successful biliary stricture dilation via stents have a restenosis rate after stent removal of up to 17%.²⁹ The use of multiple stents exchanged every 3 months over a longer time period (up to 14 months) may be more efficacious than single stents for treatment of biliary strictures caused by chronic pancreatitis.³⁰

Strictures that develop in patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) tend to respond well to endoscopic therapy, either with balloon dilation alone or in combination with the placement of endoscopic stents. The limited data available on this topic suggest that balloon dilation may be sufficient and that the use of stents to treat these strictures may be associated with an increased risk of complications and cholangitis.³¹ Endoscopic therapy of strictures has been shown to be beneficial overall in patients with PSC, and one study suggested that it may improve survival.³² Although endoscopic therapy in PSC has not been shown to delay liver transplantation or to allow early identification of cholangiocarcinoma, cholangiograms obtained at ERCP have been shown to have some prognostic value when combined with other patient-derived factors.³³ Dominant strictures seen in patients with PSC should undergo endoscopic brushing and biopsy to assess for the presence of malignancy.

With regard to benign postoperative bile-duct strictures, outcomes via treatment with balloon dilation and stents are encouraging but far from optimal, and clinical success rates with these modalities can range from 55% to 88%.³⁴ Outcomes for endoscopic therapy of bile-duct strictures that occur after liver transplantation also tend to

be highly variable, with success rates as high as 91% to 100%, while other investigators have shown only a 42% success rate for early postoperative strictures and 8% for late postoperative strictures.³⁵⁻³⁷

Stents

Endoscopically placed bile-duct stents have a role in the treatment of both malignant and benign biliary strictures, as well as in postoperative bile-duct injuries or leaks.^{23,38} Endoscopic stent placement provides effective palliation in patients with malignant disease and significant biliary obstruction, either as a temporary measure before surgical treatment or for long-term palliation. Dilation of malignant strictures may occasionally be necessary before stent insertion.

The role of preoperative biliary decompression for malignant obstruction because of pancreatic cancer should be limited to those patients with acute cholangitis or those who have severe pruritus and a delay in surgical resection.³⁹ Large-caliber polyethylene stents are used most commonly. In expert hands, stent placement is successful in 90% of distal bile-duct strictures occurring in the setting of pancreatic, ampullary, and distal bile-duct cancers. For proximal (Klatskin) lesions, success rates are lower, biliary drainage may be incomplete, and the incidence of early cholangitis is higher.⁴⁰ Such tumors may require the placement of stents into both right and left hepatic ducts to achieve adequate drainage. Minimal contrast injection and the use of preprocedural imaging studies to direct unilateral drainage of patients with hilar tumors may decrease the rate of cholangitis.^{41,42} In randomized trials, self-expanding metallic stents provide approximately double the duration of patency compared with polyethylene stents and are more cost effective in patients with nonresectable malignant strictures.³⁸ Expandable metal stents may be particularly well suited for patients with a longer life expectancy, an absence of metastases, and for those who have had early occlusion of polyethylene biliary stents.³⁸ Endoscopic stent placement also is helpful for treatment of postoperative biliary strictures and fistulas, and in selected patients with benign strictures secondary to pancreatitis²⁵ or sclerosing cholangitis.⁴³ Endoscopic dilation with stent placement of benign postoperative strictures is successful in 80% to 90% of patients.^{23,24}

Biliary leaks from the cystic duct, the bile duct, and the ducts of Luschka respond well to decompression of the bile duct by endoscopic stent placement or nasobiliary drainage with or without sphincterotomy.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ Stents usually are placed for 4 to 6 weeks, but longer intervals of stent placement may be necessary for larger duct injuries.⁴⁷ These principles also apply to bile leaks that occur after liver resection.⁴⁸ Percutaneous drainage of associated bilomas should be considered.⁴⁷ Success rates for endoscopic closure of bile leaks depend on the size and the location of the leak and range from 80% to 100%.²³

Sphincter of Oddi dysfunction

Sphincter of Oddi dysfunction may present with signs and symptoms of biliary and/or pancreatic disease. Patients with typical biliary colic and abnormal liver chemistries and with dilated bile duct (type 1 patients by Hogan/Geenen criteria) should undergo sphincterotomy; sphincter of Oddi manometry is not necessary in these patients.⁴⁹ More than 90% of these patients will have resolution of pain.⁴⁹ Biliary sphincterotomy will alleviate pain in the majority of type 2 patients (dilated bile duct or abnormal LFTs) with abnormal biliary manometry.⁴⁹ Although some studies suggest that type 3 patients (biliary pain, normal imaging, and chemistries) with an abnormal sphincter of Oddi manometry benefit from endoscopic sphincterotomy, further studies are necessary before this therapy should be widely accepted in this group.⁴⁹ The rates of complications for both ERCP and sphincterotomy in patients with sphincter of Oddi dysfunction are higher than in patients with other indications for these procedures.⁵⁰

PANCREATIC DISEASE

A variety of disorders of the pancreas can be diagnosed and treated with ERCP, although controlled trials evaluating efficacy are limited.

Recurrent acute pancreatitis

Ideally, ERCP should be reserved for treatment of abnormalities found by less invasive imaging techniques. EUS and MRCP allow pancreatic and biliary anatomy to be defined noninvasively, without risk of pancreatitis and radiation exposure, and may detect microlithiasis, choledocholithiasis, unsuspected chronic pancreatitis, and, in some cases, pancreas divisum and annular pancreas.⁵¹⁻⁵⁴ ERCP may still be required to obtain definitive imaging of the ductal anatomy. One should anticipate the need to perform manometry, minor papilla cannulation, pancreatic sphincterotomy, or pancreatic-duct stent placement.⁵⁵

Bile obtained at ERCP can be analyzed to detect microlithiasis. In selected patients, endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy without cholecystectomy is a viable option for preventing recurrent pancreatitis in the setting of microlithiasis.⁵⁵

Pancreas divisum, present in approximately 7% of the population, occurs when there is a failure of fusion of the dorsal and ventral pancreatic ducts. The role of pancreas divisum as a cause of recurrent acute pancreatitis remains controversial, though the National Institutes of Health consensus conference statement suggests that endoscopic therapy is a reasonable approach for these patients.⁴ In properly selected patients, minor papilla sphincterotomy may prevent further attacks of acute recurrent pancreatitis. One retrospective series of 53 patients who underwent minor papilla sphincterotomy in this setting

reported that 60% of patients had immediate improvement in symptoms but that half of these patients developed recurrent symptoms a mean of 6 months after the procedure.⁵⁶ A recent review of large, predominately retrospective, series of endoscopic treatment of patients with pancreas divisum evaluated stents, sphincterotomy, and the two used in combination.⁵⁷ These studies showed an overall trend toward better outcomes (improvement in pain, as well as fewer hospitalizations and emergency department visits) in patients with acute recurrent pancreatitis when compared with patients with chronic pancreatitis or pancreatic-type pain only. Limited data suggest that prolonged stent placement of the minor papilla without sphincterotomy may produce results equivalent to minor papilla sphincterotomy.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Minor papilla manipulation may carry an increased risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis.⁶¹

In patients with recurrent acute pancreatitis ERCP with the pancreatic duct, sphincter of Oddi manometry can be considered with the appropriate therapy (sphincterotomy or stent placement) performed in patients found to have elevated basal sphincter pressures. Case series have shown good responses in 28% to 91% of patients.⁵⁰ Sphincter of Oddi manometry is associated with a markedly increased rate of pancreatitis and should be performed by experienced operators in well-selected patients.

The need for ERCP after a single episode of unexplained pancreatitis is not established.

Autoimmune pancreatitis may have a characteristic appearance on ERCP, is associated with an elevated immunoglobulin G4 level, and responds favorably to corticosteroids.⁶²

Chronic pancreatitis

ERCP provides direct access to the pancreatic duct for evaluation and treatment of symptomatic stones, strictures, and pseudocysts. Pancreatic-duct strictures often can be successfully treated with dilation and stent therapy. Pain relief during and after stent placement varies widely.⁶³ In one randomized trial of endoscopic and surgical therapy, surgery was superior for long-term pain reduction in patients with painful obstructive chronic pancreatitis.⁶⁴ However, because of its lower degree of invasiveness, endotherapy may be preferred, reserving surgery in cases of failure and/or recurrence of symptoms.

Obstructing pancreatic stones may contribute to abdominal pain or acute pancreatitis in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Pancreatic sphincterotomy and stone removal can be difficult because of underlying pancreatic-duct strictures and may require extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) to fragment the stones before endoscopic removal. In some patients, stones may be impossible to remove endoscopically.⁶⁵ Case series have shown highly mixed results with regard to improvement in pain with pancreatic endotherapy. Some encouraging short-term (77%-100%) and long-term (54%-86%) im-

provements in pain have been reported.^{63,66} Other, larger series have been less encouraging. One large series of 1000 patients with chronic pancreatitis with long-term follow-up found that only 65% of patients with strictures, stones, or strictures and stones could benefit from pancreatic endotherapy with regard to pain but that endotherapy did not improve pancreatic function. Also, this same study found that 24% of patients ultimately underwent some form of surgery to treat their chronic pancreatitis.⁶⁷ ESWL for pancreatic stones is a difficult procedure even in experienced hands, has significant risks, and patients may require protracted therapy (>10 sessions) to obtain successful clearance of the duct.⁶⁸ While some investigators have reported high success rates with this technique (with or without pancreatic stents), others have had much less impressive results, with improvement in pain seen in as few as 35% of patients, whereas other large series have reported that, despite successful ESWL, most patients experience no improvement in pain.^{69,70} In patients with inaccessible stones proximal to tight strictures, surgical therapy may be required.

Pancreatic duct leaks

Pancreatic-duct disruptions or leaks occur as a result of acute pancreatitis, chronic pancreatitis, trauma, or surgical injury. Pancreatic leaks can result in pancreatic ascites, pseudocyst formation, or both. Pancreatic leaks can often be treated with transpapillary stents.⁷¹ More severe duct disruptions sometimes can be treated by "bridging" pancreatic stents to reconnect otherwise dislocated segments of pancreatic parenchyma.⁷² In one study of 42 patients with pancreatic duct disruption treated by pancreatic-duct stents, 25 patients (60%) had resolution of the disruption. Factors associated with a better outcome in duct disruption include successfully bridging the disruption and longer duration of stent placement (approximately 6 weeks). There are no randomized studies that compare surgical with endoscopic therapy for pancreatic-duct injuries.

Pancreatic fluid collections

ERCP can be used to diagnose and treat pancreatic fluid collections, such as acute pseudocysts, chronic pseudocysts, and pancreatic necrosis. Fluid collections that communicate with the pancreatic duct are amenable to transpapillary therapy. Noncommunicating benign pancreatic fluid collections can be drained via a transgastric or a transduodenal approach. EUS can allow predrainage interrogation of the intended needle path to look for interposed vessels and thus avoid them during the cyst drainage procedure.

Pseudocysts that communicate with the pancreatic duct, including cysts in the tail of the pancreas, can be drained via a transpapillary approach. Pancreatic duct stent placement, pancreatic sphincterotomy, or a combi-

nation of these techniques can allow successful non-surgical resolution. Large case series of pseudocysts drained by the transpapillary route have yielded success rates of >90%.^{63,73-75} Transmural drainage of pseudocysts, although technically more difficult, can be accomplished safely >80% of the time when in experienced hands.^{76,77} Complications of pseudocyst drainage by either approach include pancreatitis, bleeding, perforation, and infection.

Pancreatic cancer and other pancreatic malignancies

Pancreatic malignancies usually produce both biliary- and pancreatic-duct strictures (“double-duct sign”).⁷⁸ High-resolution contrast-enhanced CT, MRCP, and EUS are now commonly performed in patients with suspected pancreatic cancer.⁷⁸ A tissue diagnosis can be obtained via ERCP biopsy and brush cytology. The sensitivity rate for ERCP-directed brush cytology or biopsy is 30% to 50%, with a combination achieving sensitivity rates of 65% to 70%.²⁰ Techniques to enhance the accuracy of brush cytology, e.g., digital image analysis, appear to significantly increase the yield of brush cytology but are not widely available.⁷⁹ Additional methods, e.g., molecular analysis of components of pancreatic juice, are experimental.⁸⁰

Role of intraductal US and pancreatoscopy

Intraductal US (IDUS) may be useful for distinguishing benign from malignant strictures.⁸¹ Pancreatoscopy allows direct visualization of ductal structures and can be helpful in distinguishing pancreatic adenocarcinoma from intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm and other cystic neoplasms.^{82,83} Pancreatoscopy combined with IDUS and/or brush cytology and biopsy can provide a higher diagnostic accuracy than single tests alone.⁸⁴

TREATMENT OF AMPULLARY ADENOMAS

Adenomas in the region of the major duodenal papilla can be both diagnosed and treated via ERCP. Snare ampullectomy, combined with biliary and/or pancreatic sphincterotomy, allows complete removal of the adenoma in approximately 80% to 90% of patients without intraductal extension. Recurrences are more common in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome.⁸⁵⁻⁸⁷ Endoscopic ampullectomy is associated with up to a 20% risk of post-ERCP pancreatitis, which appears to be reduced by pancreatic-duct stent placement at the time of resection.⁸⁶ Close endoscopic follow-up is necessary to ensure complete resection and detect recurrence.^{87,88}

ERCP DURING PREGNANCY

The most common indication for ERCP during pregnancy is treatment of choledocholithiasis. Choledocholithiasis that causes cholangitis and pancreatitis during

pregnancy increases the risk of morbidity and mortality for both the fetus and mother. ERCP, with modified techniques to reduce radiation exposure to the fetus, is safe during pregnancy.^{89,90} Dosimetry should be routinely recorded. It may be possible to perform ERCP without fluoroscopy. Consultation with an obstetrician is recommended.

ERCP IN CHILDREN

ERCP has been used in children for a variety of indications, usually related to recurrent acute pancreatitis, choledocholithiasis, or evaluation of suspected choledochal cysts. Several case series of ERCP in children have shown that, in experienced hands, the success and the safety is comparable with that in adults.⁹¹⁻⁹³ Radiation exposure should be limited, and additional pelvic shielding can be used to protect the reproductive organs. In most patients, adult duodenoscopes can be used, but pediatric duodenoscopes are available, although accessories for these devices are limited.

SUMMARY

For the following points: (A), prospective controlled trials; (B), observational studies; (C), expert opinion.

- ERCP is now a primarily therapeutic procedure for the management of pancreaticobiliary disorders (C).
- Diagnostic ERCP should not be undertaken in the evaluation of pancreaticobiliary pain in the absence of objective findings on other imaging studies (B).
- Routine ERCP before laparoscopic cholecystectomy should not be performed (B).
- Endoscopic therapy of postoperative biliary leaks and strictures should be undertaken as first-line therapy (B).
- ERCP plays an important role in patients with recurrent acute pancreatitis and can identify and, in some cases, treat underlying causes (B).
- ERCP is effective in treating symptomatic strictures in chronic pancreatitis (B).
- ERCP is effective for the palliation of malignant biliary obstruction (B), for which self-expanding metallic stents have longer patency than plastic stents (A).
- ERCP can be used to diagnose and to treat symptomatic pancreatic-duct stones (B).
- Pancreatic-duct disruptions or leaks can be effectively treated via the placement of bridging or transpapillary pancreatic stents (B).
- ERCP is a highly effective tool to drain symptomatic pancreatic pseudocysts and, in selected patients, more complicated benign pancreatic-fluid collections arising in patients with a history of pancreatitis (B).
- Intraductal US and pancreatoscopy are useful adjunctive techniques for the diagnosis of pancreatic malignancies (B).

- ERCP can be performed safely in both children and pregnant adults by experienced endoscopists. In both situations, radiation exposure should be minimized as much as possible (B).

REFERENCES

- McCune WS, Shorb PE, Moscovitz H. Endoscopic cannulation of the ampulla of Vater: a preliminary report. *Ann Surg* 1968;167:752-6.
- Kawai K, Akasaka Y, Murakami K, Tada M, Koli Y. Endoscopic sphincterotomy of the ampulla of Vater. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1974;20:148-51.
- Classen M, Demling L. Endoskopische sphinkterotomie der Papilla Vateri und Steinextraktion aus dem Ductus choledocus. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1974;99:496-7.
- NIH state-of-the-science statement on endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) for diagnosis and therapy. *NIH Consens State Sci Statements* 2002;19:1-26.
- Sherman S. What is the role of ERCP in the setting of abdominal pain of pancreatic or biliary origin (suspected sphincter of Oddi dysfunction)? *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S258-66.
- Pasricha PJ. There is no role for ERCP in unexplained abdominal pain of pancreatic or biliary origin. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S267-72.
- Principles of training in gastrointestinal endoscopy. From the ASGE. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:845-53.
- ASGE guidelines for clinical application. Position statement on laboratory testing before ambulatory elective endoscopic procedures. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;50:906-9.
- Hirota WK, Petersen K, Baron TH, Goldstein JL, Jacobson BC, Leighton JA, et al. Guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis for GI endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;58:475-82.
- Kozarek R, Kodama T, Tatsumi Y. Direct cholangioscopy and pancreatoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2003;13:593-607, viii.
- Eisen GM, Dominitz JA, Faigel DO, Goldstein JL, Kalloo AN, Petersen BT, et al. An annotated algorithm for the evaluation of choledocholithiasis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:864-6.
- Carr-Locke DL. Therapeutic role of ERCP in the management of suspected common bile duct stones. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S170-4.
- Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, Haber GB, Herman ME, Dorsher PJ, et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1996;335:909-18.
- Baron TH, Harewood GC. Endoscopic balloon dilation of the biliary sphincter compared to endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy for removal of common bile duct stones during ERCP: a metaanalysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1455-60.
- Disario JA, Freeman ML, Bjorkman DJ, Macmathuna P, Petersen BT, Jaffe PE, et al. Endoscopic balloon dilation compared with sphincterotomy for extraction of bile duct stones. *Gastroenterology* 2004;127:1291-9.
- Leung JW, Tu R. Mechanical lithotripsy for large bile duct stones. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;59:688-90.
- Pereira-Lima JC, Jakobs R, Winter UH, Benz C, Martin WR, Adamek HE, et al. Long-term results (7 to 10 years) of endoscopic papillotomy for choledocholithiasis. Multivariate analysis of prognostic factors for the recurrence of biliary symptoms. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;48:457-64.
- Yi SY. Recurrence of biliary symptoms after endoscopic sphincterotomy for choledocholithiasis in patients with gall bladder stones. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000;15:661-4.
- Eisen GM, Dominitz JA, Faigel DO, Goldstein JL, Kalloo AN, Petersen BT, et al. Standards of Practice Committee. An annotated algorithmic approach to malignant biliary obstruction. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:849-52.
- Hawes RH. Diagnostic and therapeutic uses of ERCP in pancreatic and biliary tract malignancies. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S201-5.
- Morelli J, Mulcahy HE, Willner IR, Cunningham JT, Draganov P. Long-term outcomes for patients with post-liver transplant anastomotic biliary strictures treated by endoscopic stent placement. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;58:374-9.
- Shah JN, Ahmad NA, Shetty K, Kochman ML, Long WB, Brensinger CM, et al. Endoscopic management of biliary complications after adult living donor liver transplantation. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1291-5.
- Costamagna G, Shah SK, Tringali A. Current management of postoperative complications and benign biliary strictures. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2003;13:635-48, ix.
- Draganov P, Hoffman B, Marsh W, Cotton P, Cunningham J. Long-term outcome in patients with benign biliary strictures treated endoscopically with multiple stents. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;55:680-6.
- Kahl S, Zimmermann S, Genz I, Glasbrenner B, Pross M, Schulz HU, et al. Malfertheiner risk factors for failure of endoscopic stenting of biliary strictures in chronic pancreatitis: a prospective follow-up study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98:2448-53.
- Barthel M, Bernard JP, Duval JL, Affriat C, Sahel J. Biliary stenting in benign biliary stenosis complicating chronic calcifying pancreatitis. *Endoscopy* 1994;26:569-72.
- Kahl S, Zimmermann S, Genz I, Glasbrenner B, Pross M, Schulz HU, et al. Risk factors for failure of endoscopic stenting of biliary strictures in chronic pancreatitis: a prospective follow-up study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98:2448-53.
- Pozsar J, Sahin P, Laszlo F, Forro G, Topa L. Medium-term results of endoscopic treatment of common bile duct strictures in chronic calcifying pancreatitis with increasing numbers of stents. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2004;38:118-23.
- Dauids PH, Rauws EA, Coene PP, Tytgat GN, Huibregtse K. Endoscopic stenting for post-operative biliary strictures. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1992;38:12-8.
- Catalano MF, Linder JD, George S, Alcocer E, Geenen JE. Treatment of symptomatic distal common bile duct stenosis secondary to chronic pancreatitis: comparison of single vs. multiple simultaneous stents. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:945-52.
- Kaya M, Petersen BT, Angulo P, Baron TH, Andrews JC, Gostout CJ, et al. Balloon dilation compared to stenting of dominant strictures in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:1059-66.
- Baluyut AR, Sherman S, Lehman GA, Hoen H, Chalasani N. Impact of endoscopic therapy on the survival of patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:308-12.
- Ponsioen CY, Vrouenraets SM, Prawirodirdjo W, Rajaram R, Rauws EA, Mulder CJ, et al. Natural history of primary sclerosing cholangitis and prognostic value of cholangiography in a Dutch population. *Gut* 2002;51:562-6.
- Draganov P, Hoffman B, Marsh W, Cotton P, Cunningham J. Long-term outcome in patients with benign biliary strictures treated endoscopically with multiple stents. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;55:680-6.
- Park JS, Kim MH, Lee SK, Seo DW, Lee SS, Han J, et al. Efficacy of endoscopic and percutaneous treatments for biliary complications after cadaveric and living donor liver transplantation. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;57:78-85.
- Rerknimitr R, Sherman S, Fogel EL, Kalayci C, Lumeng L, Chalasani N, et al. Biliary tract complications after orthotopic liver transplantation with choledochocholedochostomy anastomosis: endoscopic findings and results of therapy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;55:224-31.
- Thethy S, Thomson BNJ, Pleass H, Wigmore SJ, Madhavan K, Akyol M, et al. Management of biliary tract complications after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2004;18:647-53.
- Levy MJ, Baron TH, Gostout CJ, Petersen BT, Farnell MB. Palliation of malignant extrahepatic biliary obstruction with plastic versus expandable metal stents: an evidence-based approach. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:273-85.

39. Flamm CR, Mark DH, Aronson N. Evidence-based assessment of ERCP approaches to managing pancreaticobiliary malignancies. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S218-25.
40. Chang WH, Kortan P, Haber GB. Outcome in patients with bifurcation tumors who undergo unilateral versus bilateral hepatic duct drainage. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;47:354-62.
41. De Palma GD, Galloro G, Siciliano S, Iovino P, Catanzano C. Unilateral versus bilateral endoscopic hepatic duct drainage in patients with malignant hilar biliary obstruction: results of a prospective, randomized, and controlled study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:547-53.
42. De Palma GD, Pezzullo A, Rega M, Persico M, Patrone F, Mastantuono L, et al. Unilateral placement of metallic stents for malignant hilar obstruction: a prospective study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;58:50-3.
43. Kozarek RA. Endoscopic therapy in primary sclerosing cholangitis. Dominant strictures may not matter: does that mean that endotherapy does not work? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:509-10.
44. Sugiyama M, Mori T, Atomi Y. Endoscopic nasobiliary drainage for treating bile leak after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1999;46:762-5.
45. Mergener K, Strobel JC, Suhocki P, Jowell PS, Enns RA, Branch MS, et al. The role of ERCP in diagnosis and management of accessory bile duct leaks after cholecystectomy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;50:527-31.
46. De Palma GD, Galloro G, Iuliano G, Puziello A, Persico F, Masone S, et al. Leaks from laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2002;49:924-5.
47. Bergman JJ, van den Brink GR, Rauws EA, de Wit L, Obertop H, Huibregtse K, et al. Treatment of bile duct lesions after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Gut* 1996;38:141-7.
48. Bhattacharjya S, Puleston J, Davidson BR, Dooley JS. Outcome of early endoscopic biliary drainage in the management of bile leaks after hepatic resection. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;57:526-30.
49. Petersen BT. An evidence-based review of sphincter of Oddi dysfunction: part I, presentations with "objective" biliary findings (types I and II). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;59:525-34.
50. Petersen BT. Sphincter of Oddi dysfunction, part 2: evidence-based review of the presentations, with "objective" pancreatic findings (types I and II) and of presumptive type III. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;59:670-87.
51. Tandon M, Topazian M. Endoscopic ultrasound in idiopathic acute pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:705-9.
52. Norton SA, Alderson D. Endoscopic ultrasonography in the evaluation of idiopathic acute pancreatitis. *Br J Surg* 2000;87:1650-5.
53. Liu CL, Lo CM, Chan JK, Poon RT, Fan ST. EUS for detection of occult cholelithiasis in patients with idiopathic pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2000;51:28-32.
54. Bhutani MS, Hoffman BJ, Hawes RH. Diagnosis of pancreas divisum by endoscopic ultrasonography. *Endoscopy* 1999;31:167-9.
55. Kozarek R. Role of ERCP in acute pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S231-6.
56. Gerke H, Byrne MF, Stiffler HL, Obando JV, Mitchell RM, Jowell PS, et al. Outcome of endoscopic minor papillotomy in patients with symptomatic pancreas divisum. *JOP* 2004;5:122-31.
57. Klein SD, Affronti JP. Pancreas divisum, an evidence-based review: part II, patient selection and treatment. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:585-9.
58. Lehman GA, Sherman S, Nisi R, Hawes RH. Pancreas divisum: results of minor papilla sphincterotomy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1993;39:1-8.
59. Heyries L, Barthet M, Delvasto C, Zamora C, Bernard JP, Sahel J. Long-term results of endoscopic management of pancreas divisum with recurrent acute pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;55:376-81.
60. Ertan A. Long-term results after endoscopic pancreatic stent placement without pancreatic papillotomy in acute recurrent pancreatitis due to pancreas divisum. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2000;52:9-14.
61. Freeman ML, DiSario JA, Nelson DB, Fennerty MB, Lee JG, Bjorkman DJ, et al. Risk factors for post-ERCP pancreatitis: a prospective, multicenter study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;54:425-34.
62. Kim KP, Kim MH, Song MH, Lee SS, Seo DW, Lee SK. Autoimmune chronic pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004;99:1605-16.
63. Lehman GA. Role of ERCP and other endoscopic modalities in chronic pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56(Suppl 6):S237-40.
64. Dite P, Ruzicka M, Zboril V, Novotny I. A prospective, randomized trial comparing endoscopic and surgical therapy for chronic pancreatitis. *Endoscopy* 2003;35:553-8.
65. Kozarek RA, Brandabur JJ, Ball TJ, Gluck M, Patterson DJ, Attia F. Clinical outcomes in patients who undergo extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy for chronic calcific pancreatitis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:496-500.
66. Delhaye M, Arvanitakis M, Verset G, Cremer M, Deviere J. Long-term clinical outcome after endoscopic pancreatic ductal drainage for patients with painful chronic pancreatitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:1096-106.
67. Rosch T, Daniel S, Scholz M, Huibregtse K, Smits M, Schneider T, et al. Endoscopic treatment of chronic pancreatitis: a multicenter study of 1000 patients with long-term follow-up. *Endoscopy* 2002;34:765-71.
68. Brand B, Kahl M, Sidhu S, Nam VC, Sriram PV, Jaeckle S, et al. Prospective evaluation of morphology, function, and quality of life after extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy and endoscopic treatment of chronic calcific pancreatitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:3428-38.
69. Holm M, Matzen P. Stenting and extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy in chronic pancreatitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2003;38:328-31.
70. Adamek HE, Jakobs R, Buttman A, Adamek MU, Schneider AR, Riemann JF. Long term follow up of patients with chronic pancreatitis and pancreatic stones treated with extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy. *Gut* 1999;45:402-5.
71. Bracher GA, Manocha AP, DeBanto JR, Gates LK Jr, Slivka A, Whitcomb DC, et al. Endoscopic pancreatic duct stenting to treat pancreatic ascites. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:710-5.
72. Telford JJ, Farrell JJ, Saltzman JR, Shields SJ, Banks PA, Lichtenstein DR, et al. Pancreatic stent placement for duct disruption. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:18-24.
73. Sharma SS, Bhargawa N, Govil A. Endoscopic management of pancreatic pseudocyst: a long-term follow-up. *Endoscopy* 2002;34:203-7.
74. Mallavarapu R, Habib TH, Elton E, Goldberg MJ. Resolution of mediastinal pancreatic pseudocysts with transpapillary stent placement. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:367-70.
75. Libera ED, Siqueira ES, Morais M, Rohr MR, Brant CQ, Ardengh JC, et al. Pancreatic pseudocysts transpapillary and transmural drainage. *HPB Surg* 2000;11:333-8.
76. De Palma GD, Galloro G, Puziello A, Masone S, Persico G. Endoscopic drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts: a long-term follow-up study of 49 patients. *Hepatogastroenterology* 2002;49:1113-5.
77. Baron TH, Harewood GC, Morgan DE, Yates MR. Outcome differences after endoscopic drainage of pancreatic necrosis, acute pancreatic pseudocysts, and chronic pancreatic pseudocysts. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:7-17.
78. Baron TH, Mallery JS, Hirota WK, Goldstein JL, Jacobson BC, Leighton JA, et al. The role of endoscopy in the evaluation and treatment of patients with pancreaticobiliary malignancy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;58:643-9.
79. Baron TH, Harewood GC, Rumalla A, Pochron NL, Stadheim LM, Gores GJ, et al. A prospective comparison of digital image analysis and routine cytology for the identification of malignancy in biliary tract strictures. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2:214-9.
80. Zhou GX, Huang JF, Li ZS, Xu GM, Liu F, Zhang H. Detection of K-ras point mutation and telomerase activity during endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in diagnosis of pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2004;10:1337-40.
81. Chak A, Catanzaro A. Innovative methods of biliary tract diagnosis: intraductal ultrasound and tissue acquisition. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2003;13:609-22.

82. Yamao K, Ohashi K, Nakamura T, Suzuki T, Sawaki A, Hara K, et al. Efficacy of peroral pancreatoscopy in the diagnosis of pancreatic diseases. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;57:205-9.
83. Atia GN, Brown RD, Alrashid A, Halline AG, Helton WS, Venu RP. The role of pancreatoscopy in the preoperative evaluation of intraductal papillary mucinous tumor of the pancreas. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2002;35:175-9.
84. Hara T, Yamaguchi T, Ishihara T, Tsuyuguchi T, Kondo F, Kato K, et al. Diagnosis and patient management of intraductal papillary-mucinous tumor of the pancreas by using peroral pancreatoscopy and intraductal ultrasonography. *Gastroenterology* 2002;122:34-43.
85. Norton ID, Gostout CJ, Baron TH, Geller A, Petersen BT, Wiersema MJ. Safety and outcome of endoscopic snare excision of the major duodenal papilla. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2002;56:239-43.
86. Catalano MF, Linder JD, Chak A, Sivak MV Jr, Rajiman I, Geenen JE, et al. Endoscopic management of adenoma of the major duodenal papilla. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;59:225-32.
87. Cheng CL, Sherman S, Fogel EL, McHenry L, Watkins JL, Fukushima T, et al. Endoscopic snare papillectomy for tumors of the duodenal papillae. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:757-64.
88. Norton ID, Geller A, Petersen BT, Sorbi D, Gostout CJ. Endoscopic surveillance and ablative therapy for periampullary adenomas. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:101-6.
89. Kahaleh M, Hartwell GD, Arseneau KO, Pajewski TN, Mullick T, Isin G, et al. Safety and efficacy of ERCP in pregnancy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:287-92.
90. Simmons DC, Tarnasky PR, Rivera-Alsina ME, Lopez JF, Edman CD. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in pregnancy without the use of radiation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2004;190:1467-9.
91. Hsu RK, Draganov P, Leung JW, Tarnasky PR, Yu AS, Hawes RH, et al. Therapeutic ERCP in the management of pancreatitis in children. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2000;51:396-400.
92. Varadarajulu S, Mel Wilcox C, Hawes RH, Cotton PB. Technical outcomes and complications of ERCP in children. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2004;60:367-71.
93. Sharma AK, Wakhlu A, Sharma SS. The role of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in the management of choledochal cysts in children. *J Pediatr Surg* 1995;30:65-7.

Prepared by:
STANDARDS OF PRACTICE COMMITTEE
Douglas G. Adler, MD
Todd H. Baron, MD
Raquel E. Davila, MD
James Egan, MD
William K. Hirota, MD
Jonathan A. Leighton, MD
Waqar Qureshi, MD
Elizabeth Rajan, MD
Marc J. Zuckerman, MD
Robert Fanelli, MD, SAGES Representative
Jo Wheeler-Harbaugh, RN, SGNA Representative
Douglas O. Faigel, MD, Chair
