# Report on Emerging Technology: a guide to the future

In this issue of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) introduces a new series of concise reviews designed to familiarize the reader with novel endoscopic technologies likely to have an impact on patient care as the technology progresses. Like the familiar Technology Status Evaluation Reports, these reviews are generated by the ASGE Technology Committee and are edited and approved by the ASGE Governing Board. Topics will generally include devices or techniques not yet approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or widely available in clinical practice. The goal is to provide a brief overview of the technology, the current status of concept or clinical data, current obstacles to dissemination into practice, and proposals for future studies. It is anticipated that many of these technologies will eventually become integrated into the practice of GI endoscopy, and the reviews serve as an educational platform from which to follow future developments. As with any pioneering venture, the frontier is harsh. Some technologies will fall short, and these eventual failures may be anticipated based on

some of the obstacles presented. The overriding goal of any emerging endoscopic technology is to improve technical performance, safety, and clinical outcomes relative to contemporary systems. Adoption of any of these technologies in routine practice will eventually require evidence based on these principles. Although numerous technologies are in the experimental or proof-of-concept stage, the Report on Emerging Technology reviews will focus on devices and techniques with the greatest and the most immediate potential to impact the practice of GI endoscopy. As the leader in the practice of GI endoscopy, the ASGE will strive to monitor these emerging technologies by providing these concise and directed reviews so that clinicians, researchers, and endoscopists of the future can direct their perspective on the respective field as it matures. It is often stated that the future is bright. However, as all endoscopists realize, it is not only important for it to be bright-the future must also be in focus.

> **William M. Tierney, MD** *Chair, ASGE Technology Committee*



### **REPORT ON EMERGING TECHNOLOGY**



## Natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery

The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) Technology Committee provides reviews of new or emerging endoscopic technologies that have the potential to impact the practice of GI endoscopy. Evidencebased methodology is used, by performing a MEDLINE literature search to identify pertinent preclinical and clinical studies on the topic and a MAUDE (Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health) database search to identify the reported complications of a given technology. Both are supplemented by accessing the "related articles" feature of

Copyright © 2008 by the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 0016-5107/\$34.00 doi:10.1016/j.gie.2008.08.031

PubMed and by scrutinizing pertinent references cited by the identified studies. Controlled clinical trials are emphasized, but, in many cases, data from randomized controlled trials are lacking. In such cases, large case series, preliminary clinical studies, and expert opinions are used. Technical data are gathered from traditional and Web-based publications, proprietary publications, and informal communications with pertinent vendors. For this review, the MEDLINE database was searched through March 2008 by using the keywords "natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery" and "NOTES."

Reports on Emerging Technologies are drafted by 1 or 2 members of the ASGE Technology Committee, reviewed and edited by the committee as a whole, and approved by the governing board of the ASGE. These reports are scientific reviews provided solely for educational and

Technical aspects of NOTES <sup>TM</sup> procedures	
Peritoneoscopy	
Gallbladder surgery	
Pelvic organs surgery	
Gastrojejunal anastomosis	
Abdominal-wall hernia repair	
Splenectomy	
Lymphadenectomy	
Pancreatic resection	
Appendectomy	
Diaphragmatic pacing	

informational purposes. Reports on Emerging Technologies are not rules and should not be construed as establishing a legal standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment or payment for such treatment.

Natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery  $(NOTES^{TM})^1$  is a term that describes novel endoscopic interventions on internal organs performed through natural orifices, with the goal of avoiding skin incisions. This term was invented during the first meeting of the Natural Orifice Surgery Consortium for Assessment and Research<sup>TM</sup> (NOSCAR<sup>TM</sup>) in July 2005.<sup>1</sup>

The first translumenal procedures were performed in a porcine model and were reported in 2000, followed by a full-length publication in 2004.<sup>2,3</sup> Since that time, multiple translumenal interventions have been completed in animal experiments and reported in abstracts and full-length articles. The most common interventions include gastroje-junostomy, cholecystectomy, ligation and resection of pelvic organs, and abdominal-wall hernia repair (Table 1).<sup>4-41</sup>

Human transgastric intraperitoneal interventions were initiated in India in 2003.<sup>42</sup> At the time of this writing, only a limited number of human NOTES<sup>TM</sup> procedures have been reported in the United States.<sup>43-48</sup> Additional translumenal human procedures have been done around the world.<sup>49-53</sup>

#### **POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS**

Animal NOTES<sup>™</sup> procedures reported in full-length publications are summarized in Table 1. All animal NOTES<sup>™</sup> procedures were completed in small feasibility studies on a limited number of animals (usually 5-7). At the time of this writing, a total of 226 nonsurvival and survival animal procedures were performed.<sup>2-41</sup> The majority of these animal experiments studied various technical aspects of translumenal procedures (eg, access to the peritoneal cavity, closure of the translumenal access site). The most commonly performed NOTES<sup>™</sup> interventions have been translumenal peritoneal exploration, gallbladder surgery, interventions on pelvic organs, and the creation of gastrojejunostomy. Less commonly investigated procedures include ventral hernia repair, splenectomy, abdominal lymphadenectomy, appendectomy, pancreatic resection, and diaphragmatic pacing.

The first published human NOTES<sup>™</sup> procedure described transgastric rescue of a prematurely dislodged PEG tube.<sup>43</sup> The intervention started with peroral endoscopic dilation of the previous gastrostomy site by using an esophageal dilating balloon. The endoscope was then advanced through the gastrostomy into the peritoneal cavity, free fluid was aspirated from the peritoneal cavity, and a guidewire was passed through the external PEG site into the peritoneal cavity and grasped with an endoscopic snare. The endoscope, snare, and guidewire were withdrawn into the stomach and out of the mouth. The new PEG was inserted over the guidewire by using the standard pull technique.

Transvaginal purely endoscopic appendectomies (n = 2) were recently reported by 2 independent groups of investigators from Germany and India.<sup>52,53</sup> Each group performed an appendectomy by using a standard flexible gastroscope and endoscopic accessories (hot biopsy forceps, needle-knives, endoclips, endoscopic detachable loops, etc). There were no complications, and both patients recovered quickly, with an uneventful follow-up.

Other reported human NOTES<sup>TM</sup> interventions were done as hybrid procedures with translumenal incision and advancement of the flexible endoscope into the peritoneal cavity, along with direct laparoscopic visualization. These include transvaginal (n = 2) and transgastric appendectomy (n = 1), transvaginal (n = 7) and transgastric cholecystectomy (n = 3), transgastric diagnostic peritoneoscopy (n = 10), and liver biopsy (n = 3).<sup>44-53</sup>

Transgastric peritoneoscopy and liver biopsy were technically simple and safe, and provided information comparable with laparoscopic abdominal exploration.<sup>46,47</sup> In addition to laparoscopic observation, the transvaginal and transgastric cholecystectomies in human beings also used laparoscopic instruments for gallbladder traction or to facilitate access to the cystic artery and cystic duct.<sup>44,45,49-51</sup> The duct and artery were ligated with laparoscopic or endoscopic clips, the gallbladder was dissected by using endoscopic accessories (eg, needle-knives, scissors, and monopolar round-tip electrodes) and extracted through the vagina or the mouth. To date no complications have been reported during or after human NOTES<sup>™</sup> procedures.<sup>42-53</sup>

### **AREAS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

More systematic studies are necessary before translumenal procedures can be recommended as an acceptable alternative in clinical practice. Many issues remain unanswered or need clarification, including:<sup>1</sup>

- What is the safest way to traverse the lumenal wall for organ access
- How to improve spatial orientation
- How to recognize and control intraprocedural complications
- What is the best way to close the translumenal access site
- How to prevent procedure-related infection and control complications
- How to remove resected organs
- What is the optimal procedure-specific instrumentation
- What level of anesthesia is required for specific procedures

The physiologic impact of NOTES<sup>™</sup> is still largely unknown. On-demand peritoneal cavity insufflation through the flexible endoscope has led to wide fluctuations of intra-abdominal pressure, which can potentially lead to undesired systemic effects (hemodynamic instability, respiratory compromise, etc).<sup>32-35</sup> Use of standard autoregulated laparoscopic insufflators and feedback pressure valves on flexible endoscopes can resolve this problem.<sup>32-35</sup> More studies of systemic consequences of NOTES<sup>™</sup> procedures and its impact on the human immune system are still necessary to determine the potential advantages and disadvantages of translumenal interventions relative to traditional surgical and laparoscopic approaches.

It is also unclear who will be best qualified to perform translumenal procedures: gastroenterologists or surgeons. The appropriate training and level of competency required to perform NOTES<sup>™</sup> procedures should be addressed.

#### SUMMARY

Translumenal endoscopic surgery is currently at a very early stage in its development. NOTES<sup>™</sup> has the potential to decrease the invasiveness of surgical and laparoscopic interventions by eliminating skin incisions, potentially reducing anesthesia requirements, and improving cosmetic results. Numerous issues must be resolved before NOTES<sup>™</sup> can be integrated into clinical practice.

Abbreviations: ASGE, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; NOTES, natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery.

#### REFERENCES

- Rattner D, Kalloo A. ASGE/SAGES Working Group on Natural Orifice Translumenal Endoscopic Surgery. October 2005. Surg Endosc 2006; 20:329-33.
- Kalloo AN, Kantsevoy SV, Singh VK, et al. Flexible transgastric peritoneoscopy: a novel approach to diagnostic and therapeutic interven-

tions in the peritoneal cavity [abstract]. Gastroenterology 2000;118: A1039.

- Kalloo AN, Singh VK, Jagannath SB, et al. Flexible transgastric peritoneoscopy: a novel approach to diagnostic and therapeutic interventions in the peritoneal cavity. Gastrointest Endosc 2004;60: 114-7.
- Jagannath SB, Kantsevoy SV, Vaughn CA, et al. Peroral transgastric endoscopic ligation of fallopian tubes with long-term survival in a porcine model. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61:449-53.
- Park PO, Bergstrom M, Ikeda K, et al. Experimental studies of transgastric gallbladder surgery: cholecystectomy and cholecystogastric anastomosis (videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61:601-6.
- Kantsevoy SV, Jagannath SB, Niiyama H, et al. Endoscopic gastrojejunostomy with survival in a porcine model. Gastrointest Endosc 2005; 62:287-92.
- Wagh MS, Merrifield BF, Thompson CC. Endoscopic transgastric abdominal exploration and organ resection: initial experience in a porcine model. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2005;3:892-6.
- Kantsevoy SV, Hu B, Jagannath SB, et al. Transgastric endoscopic splenectomy. Is it possible? Surg Endosc 2006;20:522-5.
- Wagh MS, Merrifield BF, Thompson CC. Survival studies after endoscopic transgastric oophorectomy and tubectomy in a porcine model. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63:473-8.
- Merrifield BF, Wagh MS, Thompson CC. Peroral transgastric organ resection: a feasibility study in pigs. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63: 693-7.
- 11. Kantsevoy SV, Niiyama H, Jagannath SB, et al. The endoscopic transilluminator: an endoscopic device for identification of the proximal jejunum for transgastric endoscopic gastrojejunostomy. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63:1055-8.
- Swanstrom LL, Kozarek R, Pasricha PJ, et al. Development of a new access device for transgastric surgery. J Gastrointest Surg 2005;9:1129-36 discussion 1136-7.
- Lima E, Rolanda C, Pego JM, et al. Transvesical endoscopic peritoneoscopy: a novel 5 mm port for intra-abdominal scarless surgery. J Urol 2006;176:802-5.
- Pai RD, Fong DG, Bundga ME, et al. Transcolonic endoscopic cholecystectomy: a NOTES survival study in a porcine model (with video)-Gastrointest Endosc 2006;64:428-34.
- Rolanda C, Lima E, Pego JM, et al. Third-generation cholecystectomy by natural orifices: transgastric and transvesical combined approach (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65:111-7.
- Hu B, Kalloo AN, Chung SS, et al. Peroral transgastric endoscopic primary repair of a ventral hernia in a porcine model. Endoscopy 2007;39: 390-3.
- 17. Bergstrom M, Ikeda K, Swain P, et al. Transgastric anastomosis by using flexible endoscopy in a porcine model (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63:307-12.
- Mintz Y, Horgan S, Cullen J, et al. NOTES: the hybrid technique. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A 2007;17:402-6.
- Ko CW, Shin EJ, Buscaglia JM, et al. Preliminary pneumoperitoneum facilitates transgastric access into the peritoneal cavity for natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery: a pilot study in a live porcine model. Endoscopy 2007;39:849-53.
- Dray X, Gabrielson KL, Buscaglia JM, et al. Air and fluid leak tests after NOTES procedures: a pilot study in a live porcine model (with videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2008;68:513-9.
- Meireles OR, Kantsevoy SV, Assumpcao LR, et al. Reliable gastric closure after natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) using a novel automated flexible stapling device. Surg Endosc 2008; 22:1609-13.
- Mintz Y, Horgan S, Cullen J, et al. Dual-lumen natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES): a new method for performing a safe anastomosis. Surg Endosc 2008;22:348-51.
- Mintz Y, Horgan S, Savu MK, et al. Hybrid natural orifice translumenal surgery (NOTES) sleeve gastrectomy: a feasibility study using an animal model. Surg Endosc 2008;22:1798-802.

- 24. Kantsevoy SV, Dray X, Shin EJ, et al. Transgastric ventral hernia repair: a controlled study in a live porcine model (with videos). Gastrointest Endosc 2008. In Press.
- 25. Ryou M, Fong DG, Pai RD, et al. Evaluation of a novel access and closure device for NOTES applications: a transcolonic survival study in the porcine model (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2008;67:964-9.
- 26. Fritscher-Ravens A, Mosse CA, Ikeda K, et al. Endoscopic transgastric lymphadenectomy by using EUS for selection and guidance. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63:302-6.
- 27. Sumiyama K, Gostout CJ, Rajan E, et al. Pilot study of the porcine uterine horn as an in vivo appendicitis model for development of endoscopic transgastric appendectomy. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;64:808-12.
- 28. Onders R, McGee MF, Marks J, et al. Diaphragm pacing with natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery: potential for difficult-to-wean intensive care unit patients. Surg Endosc 2007;21:475-9.
- 29. Shin S, Kantsevoy SV, Kalloo AN, et al. Hybrid minimally invasive surgery: a bridge between laparoscopic and translumenal surgery. Surg Endosc 2007;21:1450-3.
- 30. Fong DG, Pai RD, Thompson CC. Transcolonic endoscopic abdominal exploration: a NOTES survival study in a porcine model. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65:312-8.
- 31. Ryou M, Pai R, Sauer J, et al. Evaluating an optimal gastric closure method for transgastric surgery. Surg Endosc 2007;21:677-80.
- Meireles O, Kantsevoy SV, Kalloo AN, et al. Comparison of intraabdominal pressures using the gastroscope and laparoscope for transgastric surgery. Surg Endosc 2007;21:998-1001.
- McGee MF, Rosen MJ, Marks J, et al. A reliable method for monitoring intraabdominal pressure during natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc 2007;21:672-6.
- 34. von Delius S, Huber W, Feussner H, et al. Effect of pneumoperitoneum on hemodynamics and inspiratory pressures during natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery (NOTES): an experimental, controlled study in an acute porcine model. Endoscopy 2007;39: 854-9.
- Bergstrom M, Swain P, Park PO. Measurements of intraperitoneal pressure and development of a feedback control valve for regulating pressure during flexible transgastric surgery (NOTES). Gastrointest Endosc 2007;66:174-8.
- Kantsevoy SV, Jagannath SB, Nijiyama H, et al. A novel safe approach to the peritoneal cavity for per-oral transgastric endoscopic procedures. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65:497-500.
- von Delius S, Feussner H, Wilhelm D, et al. Transgastric in vivo histology in the peritoneal cavity using miniprobe-based confocal fluorescence microscopy in an acute porcine model. Endoscopy 2007;39: 407-11.
- 38. Wilhelm D, Meining A, von Delius S, et al. An innovative, safe and sterile sigmoid access (ISSA) for NOTES. Endoscopy 2007;39:401-6.
- Onders RP, McGee MF, Marks J, et al. Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) as a diagnostic tool in the intensive care unit. Surg Endosc 2007;21:681-3.
- 40. Pauli EM, Moyer MT, Haluck RS, et al. Self-approximating translumenal access technique (STAT) for NOTES: a porcine survival study (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2008;67:690-7.

- 41. Magno P, Giday SA, Dray X, et al. A new stapler-based full-thickness transgastric access closure: results from an animal pilot trial. Endos-copy 2007;39:876-80.
- 42. Rao GV, Reddy DN. Transgastric appendectomy in humans. Presented at: World Congress of Gastroenterology; September 2006, Montreal, Canada.
- 43. Marks JM, Ponsky JL, Pearl JP, et al. PEG "rescue": a practical NOTES technique. Surg Endosc 2007;21:816-9.
- Bessler M, Stevens PD, Milone L, et al. Transvaginal laparoscopically assisted endoscopic cholecystectomy: a hybrid approach to natural orifice surgery. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;66:1243-5.
- 45. USGI news. June 25 2007. Available at: http://www.usgimedical.com. Accessed April 6, 2008.
- Hazey JW, Narula VK, Renton DB, et al. Natural-orifice transgastric endoscopic peritoneoscopy in humans: initial clinical trial. Surg Endosc 2007;22:16-20.
- Steele K, Schweitzer MA, Luyn-Sue J, et al. Flexible transgastric peritoneoscopy and liver biopsy: a feasibility study in humans. Gastrointest Endosc 2008;68:61-6.
- Appendix removed via mouth; first such surgery in U.S. ScienceDaily March 17, 2008. Available at: http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/ 2008/03/080317093904.htm. Accessed April 6, 2008.
- WeBSurg's World Virtual University July 2007. Available at: http:// www.websurg.com/notes/experts/index.php. Accessed April 6, 2008.
- Zorron R, Maggioni LC, Pombo L, et al. NOTES transvaginal cholecystectomy: preliminary clinical application. Surg Endosc 2008;22: 542-7.
- Zornig C, Emmermann A, von Waldenfels HA, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy without visible scar: combined transvaginal and transumbilical approach. Endoscopy 2007;39:913-5.
- 52. Bernhardt J, Gerber B, Schober HC, et al. NOTES: case report of flexible appendectomy. Int J Colorectal Dis 2008;23:547-50.
- Palanivelu C, Rajan PS, Rangarajan M, et al. Transvaginal endoscopic appendectomy in humans: a unique approach to NOTES—world's first report. Surg Endosc 2008 March 18 [Epub ahead of print].

Prepared by: ASGE TECHNOLC

ASGE TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE Sergey V. Kantsevoy, MD Douglas G. Adler, MD Bipan Chand, MD Jason D. Conway, MD David L. Diehl, MD Richard S. Kwon, MD Petar Mamula, MD, NASPGHAN representative Sarah A. Rodriguez, MD Raj J. Shah, MD Louis Michel Wong Kee Song, MD William M. Tierney, MD, Committee Chair

This document is a product of the Technology Assessment Committee. This document was reviewed and approved by the governing board of the ASGE.