



## Overtube use in gastrointestinal endoscopy

The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) Technology Committee provides reviews of existing, new, or emerging endoscopic technologies that have an impact on the practice of GI endoscopy. Evidence-based methodology is used, with a MEDLINE literature search to identify pertinent clinical studies on the topic, and a MAUDE (Food and Drug Administration Center for Devices and Radiological Health) database search to identify the reported complications of a given technology. Both are supplemented by accessing the "related articles" feature of PubMed and by scrutinizing pertinent references cited by the identified studies. Controlled clinical trials are emphasized, but, in many cases, data from randomized controlled trials are lacking. In such cases, large case series, preliminary clinical studies, and expert opinions are used. Technical data are gathered from traditional and Web-based publications, proprietary publications, and informal communications with pertinent vendors.

Technology Status Evaluation Reports are drafted by 1 or 2 members of the ASGE Technology Committee, reviewed and edited by the committee as a whole, and approved by the governing board of the ASGE. When financial guidance is indicated, the most recent coding data and list prices at the time of publication are provided. For this review, the MEDLINE database was searched through March 2009 for articles related to overtube use in GI endoscopy by using the keywords overtube, intubation, enteral access, enteroscopy, and foreign bodies, paired with endoscopy, gastrointestinal. Practitioners should continue to monitor the medical literature for subsequent data about the efficacy, safety, and socioeconomic aspects of these technologies.

Technology Status Evaluation Reports are scientific reviews provided solely for educational and informational purposes. Technology Status Evaluation Reports are not rules and should not be construed as establishing a legal standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment or payment for such treatment.

# Copyright © 2009 by the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 0016-5107/\$36.00 doi:10.1016/j.gie.2009.06.014

## BACKGROUND

An overtube is a sleeve-like device designed to facilitate endoscopy. All overtubes have an inner diameter larger than an endoscope, providing a conduit for passage of the device into the digestive tract. Overtubes are intended to protect the GI mucosa from trauma and limit the risk of aspiration. They also facilitate access in patients with challenging anatomy, increase the depth of insertion, and maintain access for repeated withdrawal and reinsertion. This overview covers the design and use of overtubes marketed for various general applications as well as overtubes designed for specific functions including those marketed with enteroscopes.<sup>1</sup>

## **TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

## **General overtubes**

All overtubes are made of semi-rigid plastic with a tapered, soft, distal tip. Overtubes may have a proximal seal for the endoscope. The distal end of the overtube must be atraumatic and usually has an inner diameter closely matched with that of the endoscope to limit the potential of entrapment or pinching of mucosa between the 2 devices during insertion and exchanges. Endoscopic overtubes vary in length (23-135 cm) and caliber (outer diameters 14.4-21 mm) to correspond with intended applications and routes of entry. When the purpose is to protect the cricopharyngeal area or the airway, the overtube needs to be 20 to 25 cm in length. To protect the esophagus when objects are being removed from the stomach, the overtube should be at least 50 cm in length. Objects can be either extracted through the overtube or retracted into the overtube, with both overtube and endoscope being withdrawn simultaneously. Other important properties of commercially available overtubes are described in Table 1.

One line of single-use overtubes (Guardus; U.S. Endoscopy, Mentor, OH) is designed to maintain insufflation and maximize the luminal diameter for removal of foreign bodies. A 2-tube system uses a tapered inner tube to provide a close fit between the endoscope and the device during intubation and a slightly shorter and larger-diameter, coil-spring-reinforced outer tube, thus reducing the potential for mucosal pinching. The outer and inner tubes are locked together by a threading mechanism at the proximal (external) portion of the tubes. This proximal

Manufacturer & device name	Compatible endoscope diameter (OD, mm)	Length (cm)	Inner diameter (mm)	Outer diameter (mm)	Single use	List price (\$)
U.S. Endoscopy, Mentor, OH						
Guardus Overtubes*						
Esophageal	8.6-10.0	25	16.7	19.5	Yes	125
Esophageal	10.0-11.7	25	16.7	19.5	Yes	125
Gastric	8.6-10.0	50	16.7	19.5	Yes	150
Gastric	10.0-11.7	50	16.7	19.5	Yes	150
ConMed, Utica, NY						
ConMed Endoscopic Overtube	<13	23	15	20	No	130

\*OD measures refer to the outer of 2 sleeves. The inner sleeve facilitates insertion and dictates the caliber of the compatible endoscopes.

end of the inner tube also incorporates an insufflation seal to minimize leakage of air. There is a separate, larger insufflation seal attached by a plastic strap to the outer tube, which can be threaded in place once the inner tube is removed, again to minimize leakage of air. Adequate lubrication of all surfaces is necessary prior to assembly and backloading onto the endoscope. Once the desired location is achieved during endoscopy, the 2-tube system is advanced into position, and the inner tube is unlocked and simultaneously removed with the endoscope while the outer tube is maintained in position. The outer tube's dedicated insufflation seal is then threaded into position on the external portion of the tube before reinsertion of the endoscope. Models are available in various sizes for esophageal, gastric, and colonic indications (Table 1).

## **Overtubes for enteroscopy**

Several overtube devices are designed specifically to facilitate deep endoscope insertion with the goal of reaching portions of the digestive tract not traditionally accessible with standard upper or lower endoscopes. Two of these devices are specifically marketed as part of an entire enteroscopy system with an accompanying enteroscope. The double-balloon enteroscopy (DBE) system (Fujinon, Saitama, Japan) uses flexible, single-use overtubes that are matched to the caliber of the enteroscope. The overtubes are 1350 mm long and have a 1-mm-thick latex balloon permanently fixed to the distal end and a port for balloon inflation and deflation. The double-balloon enteroscopes have the second balloon attached to the distal tip. An external air pump is connected to the balloon ports of the endoscope and overtube. A control box for activation of balloon inflation and deflation is connected to the pump by a wire. Automated pressure control assures that the balloon inflation pressure does not exceed 45 mm Hg. The overtube contains a port for water instillation to activate a hydrophilic coating on the inner surface, which minimizes friction with the endoscope. When the apparatus is assembled, the balloons are deflated to begin the procedure. For the oral approach, the endoscope and overtube are inserted into the duodenum, and the balloon on the overtube is inflated to maintain a stable position. With its balloon deflated, the endoscope is inserted up to 40 cm beyond the overtube, the endoscope balloon is inflated, the overtube balloon is deflated, and the overtube is advanced to the tip of the endoscope. A circumferential white mark on the endoscope insertion tube 140 cm proximal to the endoscope balloon represents a stopping point beyond which the overtube should not be advanced or the endoscope withdrawn. This prevents the overtube from shearing off the endoscope balloon. The overtube balloon is then reinflated, so that the entire apparatus is secured in the intestine with both balloons inflated. The entire endoscope-overtube apparatus is then retracted, which pleats the intestine on to the overtube like a compressed concertina. This procedure is repeated, and the device is advanced through the intestine in increments of up to 40 cm.

The single-balloon enteroscopy (SBE) system uses a single-use, 140-cm long (working length 132 cm), 13.2-mm outer diameter, silicone (non-latex) overtube (ST-SB1, Olympus America, Inc., Center Valley, Pa) with a balloon at its distal end. The balloon is inflated and deflated by an electronic inflation control device with automatic pressure control to standardize balloon inflation pressures. This overtube is loaded onto the enteroscope, which has a working length of 200 cm and an outer diameter of 9.2 mm. The internal surface of the balloon overtube is hydrophilic, and lubrication between the outer sheath of the endoscope and the inner surface of the overtube with water. The initial process of insertion into the small bowel is similar to that of the double-balloon

system, with the insertion of the endoscope system as far as possible in the deflated position. The balloon is then inflated and the entire system withdrawn to promote backloading of the small bowel onto the outer surface of the overtube. The endoscope is then advanced while the balloon is inflated, holding the proximal small bowel in the retracted position. When the endoscope cannot be advanced further, the balloon is deflated and the balloon overtube advanced over the scope to the tip of the endoscope. This sequence is repeated until the endoscope cannot be advanced further. The tip of the balloon sheath is radiopaque to facilitate fluoroscopic localization relative to the endoscope. Unlike the double-balloon system, the single-balloon system has no second balloon on the tip of the endoscope to secure the position while the sheath is inserted to meet the distal aspect of the endoscope. Whether this compromises insertion depth has not yet been defined in comparative studies.

Another set of overtube devices (Endo-Ease; Spirus Medical, Stoughton, Mass) is designed to facilitate endoscope intubation and increase depth of insertion through redundant anatomy. This overtube contains a leading helical-threaded portion that is designed to engage the mucosal folds of the small bowel or the colon as it is rotated, pulling itself and the endoscope through the lumen and pleating the bowel wall over the coupled devices. The endoscope is loaded into the overtube and advanced 25 cm beyond the tip of the overtube. The overtube is then clamped to the insertion tube of the endoscope by tightening the proximal nonrotating collar. A joint just distal to the collar allows free rotation of the distal portion of the overtube. The endoscope-overtube system is inserted orally and advanced into the small intestine. Using gentle pressure and clockwise rotation of the overtube, the physician advances the tip of the coupled system by pleating the small bowel onto the spiral overtube. Steering is accomplished by endoscopic visualization and tip deflection. Rotation continues until significant resistance is encountered or forward motion ceases. The overtube is then unlocked from the endoscope, allowing the physician to attempt further advancement of the endoscope. Withdrawal is accomplished with counter-clockwise rotation of the overtube. Although initially available in 3 configurations for colonoscopy and per oral or per anal enteroscopy, the device is now available in a single per oral enteroscopy version and a retrograde colonic version (Table 2). Recent changes in these newer versions intended to reduce mucosal trauma include incorporation of hollow rather than solid plastic threads and a more flexible distal end of the tube.

A reusable overtube designed specifically to facilitate small-bowel push enteroscopy (ST-S2 Splinting tube; Olympus America) is intended for use with the SIF-Q140 enteroscope but can be used with any instrument with a compatible diameter. (Table 2). This semirigid overtube prevents gastric looping, thus straightening the endoscopic path from the esophagus through the stomach to the descending part of the duodenum. The proximal portion of this overtube contains a drain pipe for venting the air. When the physician inserts this system into the patient, slow rotation is needed to prevent mucosal pinching between the endoscope and overtube. The endoscope should never be removed prior to removal of the overtube.

## **Emerging overtube systems**

A novel device designed to enhance the depth of insertion uses an overtube that can be converted from a flexible to a rigid state (ShapeLock; USGI Medical, San Clemente, Calif) (Table 2). An inner metallic reusable component of this system is made of multiple nested titanium links, which are held together by 4 cables. A lever in the handle is used to apply tension to the cables, squeezing the links together and fixing the device in its present configuration. A disposable sterile sleeve covers the metallic components. The overtube (in a lax state) is back-loaded onto the endoscope, which is then inserted through the mouth or rectum. The rigidity of the overtube can be enabled or disabled at any time during the procedure, but conversion to the rigid state is ideally used after negotiating and reducing redundant bowel loops. Although this device is not commercially available at the time of this writing, it has received 510 k clearance by the Food and Drug Administration, and the manufacturer expects to market the device in the near future. In addition, this technology is being incorporated into an endosurgical platform that is designed for natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) or laparoscopic procedures. This singleuse device (TransPort Multilumen Operating Platform; USGI Medical Inc) is commercially available but is far more than an overtube, with special design features including 4 lumens (including 1 for a 6-mm endoscope), 4-way tip deflection, wheel and tool locks, and a means for carbon dioxide insufflation.

There are several other overtube devices that are currently in development by other manufacturers (Apollo Endosurgery Inc, Austin, Tex; Ethicon Endo-Surgery Inc, Cincinnati, OH) to serve as potential platforms for NOTES. These overtubes are intended to serve as ports providing access from the natural orifice (mouth, vagina, etc) to the peritoneal cavity for repeated insertion and withdrawal of the endoscopes. Some of the overtubes designed for NOTES have additional channels allowing passage of other endoscopic instruments (grasping forceps, suturing devices, etc), articulating distal ends to facilitate endoscope orientation within the peritoneal cavity, and mechanisms (balloons, etc) to anchor the distal end of the overtube in the wall of the organ used for transluminal passage. These devices will require further study and clearance by the Food and Drug Administration before they can be marketed in the United States.

Manufacturer & device name	Compatible endoscope diameter (OD, mm)	Length	Inner diameter	Outer diameter	Single use	List price
Fujinon, Wayne, NJ						
TS-12140	8.5 mm (EN-450P5/ 20 double-balloon enteroscope)	135 cm	10 mm	12.2 mm	Yes	\$208
TS-13140	9.4 mm (EN-450T5 double-balloon enteroscope)	135 cm	10.8 mm	13.2 mm	Yes	\$208
Olympus, Cedar Valley, Penn						
ST-S2	$\leq$ 12 mm	100 cm	12.4 mm	14.4 mm	No	\$2234
ST-SB1	9.2 mm (SIF-Q180 single-balloon enteroscope)	132 cm	12.4 mm	14.4 mm	Yes	\$232
Spirus Medical, Stoughton, Mass						
Endo-Ease Discovery SB	9.1-9.4 mm (only specialized enteroscopes SIF- Q180 and EN-450T5)	118 cm	9.8 mm	16 mm + 4.5 mm (low profile) or 5.5 mm (standard profile) hollow helical thread at distal 22 cm	Yes	\$495
Endo-Ease Vista Retrograde	10.5-11.6 mm (enteroscopes and pediatric colonoscopies)	90 cm	13 mm	18 mm + 5.5 mm hollow helical thread at distal 25 cm	Yes	\$395
USGI Medical, San Clemente, Calif						
TransPort Incisionless Operating Platform	4 lumens: endoscope lumen accommodates ≤6 mm	100 cm	4 lumens: Two 6.8 mm Two 4.5 mm	18 mm	Yes	\$1150
Colonoscopy ShapeLock*	$\leq$ 13.5 mm (adult colonoscope)	60 cm or 80 cm		21 mm	No	Reusable mechanism: \$3200 (expected)
	≤11.8 mm (pediatric colonoscope)	60 cm or 80 cm		21 mm	No	Disposable sleeve: \$110
Enteroscopy ShapeLock*	≤10.5 mm	100 cm		16 mm	No	Reusable mechanism: \$3200 (expected)
						Disposable sleeve: \$300

## INDICATIONS, EFFICACY, AND EASE OF USE

Potential indications for the use of an overtube during GI endoscopy are listed in Table 3. More common indications are described. Prior to overtube placement, a diagnostic endoscopy is often required because the overtube may be an encumbrance and obscure the markings on the endoscope. Preloading the overtube onto the endoscope promotes procedural efficiency by avoiding reinsertion of the endoscope into the patient. An overtube

Removal of foreign bodies (eg, airway protection during oral extraction, mucosal protection from sharp objects)<sup>4,6-8,39-41</sup>

Conduit for endoscopic intubations (eg, endoscopic mucosal resections, variceal banding, endoscopic suturing, NOTES)^{2.9,42-45}

Reduce looping (eg, small bowel enteroscopy, difficult colonoscopy)<sup>27,29,36,46-49</sup>

Protect altered anatomy (eg, bypass Zenker's diverticulum)<sup>50,51</sup>

Incorporation with specialized endoscopes (eg, doubleballoon or single-balloon enteroscopes)<sup>1</sup>

Access for larger devices (eg, stent removal, capsule endoscopy delivery, transesophageal echocardiography)<sup>51-54</sup>

Colonic decompression (eg, sigmoid volvulus, pseudo-obstruction)<sup>46,55</sup>

Reduce infection or malignant seeding during PEG tube  $\mathsf{placement}^{56,57}$ 

NOTES, Natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery.

should be introduced and guided into the GI tract either over an endoscope or a bougie.<sup>2</sup> Liberal lubrication of the inner and outer surfaces of the overtube and endoscope is critical prior to insertion, and resistance to passage of the overtube warrants reassessment.

Foreign body removal represents one of the most common indications for overtube use. Most published studies are retrospective descriptive reports of techniques used to remove foreign bodies with an endoscope and overtube system.<sup>3-8</sup> The major advantages of an overtube include prevention of esophageal mucosal injury and aspiration. In addition, if numerous objects need to be extracted, the overtube provides a conduit for repeated endoscope insertion and withdrawal.

Overtubes were frequently used to facilitate repeated intubation and withdrawal during esophageal variceal band ligation with individually loaded bands<sup>9,10</sup>; however, this practice has largely been obviated by currently available multiband ligators.<sup>11</sup>

Another major indication for overtube use is facilitation of deeper access during small bowel enteroscopy. Two prospective randomized trials of standard push enteroscopy, with and without an enteroscopy overtube, demonstrated that the use of an overtube yielded a 12-to-15-cm greater depth of insertion; however, there was no improvement in the yield of pathological findings.<sup>12,13</sup>

Overtubes specifically designed for balloon enteroscopy systems do appear to enhance depth of insertion. A prospective paired-design series of 52 patients with GI bleeding compared DBE to push enteroscopy with an overtube. The depth of jejunal intubation (230 cm vs 80 cm, P < .0001) and rate of lesion detection (63% and 44%, P < .0001) were superior in the DBE group.<sup>14</sup> A retrospective comparison of 118 patients with a variety of indications demonstrated that intubation to about 92 cm beyond the ligament of Treitz was achieved with DBE, compared to 22 cm using push enteroscopy with an overtube (P < .0001).<sup>15</sup> In both of these studies, the DBE system required more than double the procedure time relative to standard push enteroscopy (60-70 minutes vs 21-30 minutes). The SBE overtube system has been less well studied, but procedure times and depth of insertion appear similar to those of the DBE system.<sup>16,17</sup> There are currently no published studies directly comparing the depth of insertion of DBE and SBE systems. Use of a pediatric colonoscope with the Endo-Ease overtube system for per oral enteroscopy has recently been demonstrated in 27 patients to achieve a mean insertion depth of 176 cm beyond the ligament of Treitz.<sup>18</sup> This technique for enteroscopy has also been reported via a retrograde per anal approach in a limited number of patients.<sup>19</sup> This overtube enteroscopy system appears safe, with minimal mucosal trauma, but published experience remains limited. In addition, these studies used an older, larger version of the spiral overtube. The are no published reports with the newer version, which has a smaller internal and external caliber and will accommodate only standardsize enteroscopes and not pediatric colonoscopes.<sup>1</sup>

A small case series using the ShapeLock device for push enteroscopy in patients with normal and surgically altered anatomy suggested an improved depth of insertion relative to enteroscopy without an overtube.<sup>20</sup> In a study of 9 patients with a Roux-en-Y gastric bypass who underwent failed attempts at examination of the stomach with enteroscopy without an overtube, the ShapeLock overtube was used to provide enteroscopy access for successfully examining the excluded stomach and duodenum in 8 of 9 patients.<sup>21</sup>

Both the SBE and DBE systems have been used to perform ERCP in altered anatomy.<sup>22,23</sup> The ShapeLock system has also been used to access the ampulla for ERCP by facilitating insertion of the endoscope through a prolonged segment of altered upper GI anatomy.<sup>24</sup>

Overtubes have also been used to facilitate completion of colonoscopy. In a study of 54 patients undergoing colonoscopy with the ShapeLock device, users subjectively rated the device as easy to use and resulting in minimal trauma, but no detail on the ease of cecal intubation was reported.<sup>25</sup> In a preliminary series of 8 patients who had failed colonoscopy secondary to excessive loop formation, the ShapeLock device was used for successful cecal intubation.<sup>26</sup> A case report demonstrated the use of the ShapeLock device in a patient with multiple large colonic polyps and a redundant sigmoid colon to provide a stable platform for repeated intubations of the proximal colon.<sup>27</sup> Use of the Endo-Ease spiral leading edge colonic overtube system has been reported only in abstract form.<sup>28</sup> When this system was used for routine colonoscopy, in 18 of 168 patients the overtube was unsuccessful because of patient and physician factors. There were no major complications, and mucosal trauma was noted in only 3% of patients. In a historical case series of 119 patients who failed colonoscopy, 117 patients had a complete examination, with the majority being accomplished with standard techniques, but various overtubes were used in 13 patients to assist in completion.<sup>29</sup>

## SAFETY

The most commonly reported complications during overtube use are mucosal abrasions and tears secondary to the large diameter of the overtube or pinching of mucosa between the endoscope and overtube.<sup>30,31</sup> Previously described insertion techniques may reduce these risks. Other significant complications including pharyngeal and esophageal perforation, variceal rupture, overtube separation, transient vocal cord paralysis, pneumomediastinum, and tracheal compression have been described.<sup>31-35</sup> When overtubes are used in enteroscopy, pancreatitis has been reported, presumably related to prolonged compression or trauma to the ampulla during small-bowel manipulation.<sup>36-38</sup>

## FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

List prices for reusable and disposable overtubes are presented in Tables 1 and 2. There is no specific CPT\* code for overtube use. Codes relevant to enteroscopy are reviewed in the enteroscopy Technology Status Evaluation Report.<sup>1</sup>

### **AREAS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

Given the limited amount of comparative analysis, there is a need for studies examining the impact of overtube use in various endoscopic techniques. Particular needs include direct comparisons of DBE, SBE, and the spiral enteroscopy overtube system. Further studies examining multifunctional overtubes, which may become platforms for more complex transluminal endosurgical procedures, are also needed.

## SUMMARY

Several overtubes are available for use in GI endoscopy, some intended for specific endoscopic systems. Overtubes have expanded from their role as simple endoscopic accessories to more complex and specialized designs that facilitate endoscope insertion and bowel wall manipulation. Overtubes can be associated with serious adverse events, and it is important to follow proper insertion techniques. Based on their ability to facilitate luminal access, overtubes will likely play an important role as endoscopic surgical techniques expand.

Abbreviations: DBE, double-balloon enteroscopy; NOTES, natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery; SBE, single-balloon enteroscopy.

## REFERENCES

- 1. DiSario JA, Petersen BT, Tierney WM, et al. Enteroscopes. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;66:872-80.
- Goldschmiedt M, Haber G, Kandel G, et al. A safety maneuver for placing overtubes during endoscopic variceal ligation. Gastrointest Endosc 1992;38:399-400.
- Shin JS, Sheu BS, Lin XZ, et al. Longitudinal linear-incised overtube for endoscopic removal of larger ingested foreign bodies. Gastrointest Endosc 1995;41:618.
- 4. Brady PG. Esophageal foreign bodies. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 1991;20:691-701.
- Spurling TJ, Zaloga GP, Richter JE. Fiberendoscopic removal of a gastric foreign body with overtube technique. Gastrointest Endosc 1983;29: 226-7.
- 6. Witzel L, Scheurer U, Muhlemann A, et al. Removal of razor blades from stomach with fibreoptic endoscope. Br Med J 1974;2:539.
- Henderson CT, Engel J, Schlesinger P. Foreign body ingestion: review and suggested guidelines for management. Endoscopy 1987;19:68-71.
- 8. Werth RW, Edwards C, Jennings WC. A safe and quick method for endoscopic retrieval of multiple gastric foreign bodies using a protective sheath. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1990;171:419-20.
- Stiegmann GV, Goff JS, Sun JH, et al. Technique and early clinical results of endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL). Surg Endosc 1989;3:73-8.
- Stiegmann GV, Goff JS, Michaletz-Onody PA, et al. Endoscopic sclerotherapy as compared with endoscopic ligation for bleeding esophageal varices. N Engl J Med 1992;326:1527-32.
- 11. Liu J, Petersen BT, Tierney WM, et al. Endoscopic banding devices. Gastrointest Endosc 2008;68:217-21.
- 12. Benz C, Jakobs R, Riemann JF. Do we need the overtube for pushenteroscopy? Endoscopy 2001;33:658-61.
- Taylor AC, Chen RY, Desmond PV. Use of an overtube for enteroscopydoes it increase depth of insertion? A prospective study of enteroscopy with and without an overtube. Endoscopy 2001;33:227-30.
- May A, Nachbar L, Schneider M, et al. Prospective comparison of push enteroscopy and push-and-pull enteroscopy in patients with suspected small-bowel bleeding. Am J Gastroenterol 2006;101:2016-24.
- Matsumoto T, Moriyama T, Esaki M, et al. Performance of antegrade double-balloon enteroscopy: comparison with push enteroscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;62:392-8.
- Kawamura T, Yasuda K, Tanaka K, et al. Clinical evaluation of a newly developed single-balloon enteroscope. Gastrointest Endosc 2008;68: 1112-6.
- Tsujikawa T, Saitoh Y, Andoh A, et al. Novel single-balloon enteroscopy for diagnosis and treatment of the small intestine: preliminary experiences. Endoscopy 2008;40:11-5.
- Akerman PA, Agrawal D, Chen W, et al. Spiral enteroscopy: a novel method of enteroscopy by using the Endo-Ease Discovery SB overtube and a pediatric colonoscope. Gastrointest Endosc 2009;69: 327-32.

<sup>\*</sup> CPT<sup>®</sup> is a trademark of the American Medical Association. Current Procedural Terminology copyright 2009 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

- 19. Akerman PACD, Agrawal D, Pangtay J. Novel method of enteroscopy via anal approach using Endoease Discovery SB overtube. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65: AB277.
- 20. Kozarek R, Schembre D, Spaulding W, et al. Initial application of the ShapeLock endoscopic guide for small bowel enteroscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61:AB172.
- 21. Pai RD, Carr-Locke DL, Thompson CC. Endoscopic evaluation of the defunctionalized stomach by using ShapeLock technology (with video). Gastrointest Endosc 2007;66:578-81.
- 22. Kuga R, Furuya CK Jr, Hondo FY, et al. ERCP using double-balloon enteroscopy in patients with Roux-en-Y anatomy. Dig Dis 2008;26: 330-5.
- 23. Dellon ES, Kohn GP, Morgan DR, et al. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography with single-balloon enteroscopy is feasible in patients with a prior Roux-en-Y anastomosis. Dig Dis Sci 2009;54: 1798-803.
- Nawras AT, Catalano MF, Alsolaiman MM, et al. Overtube-assisted ERCP in patients with altered gastric and esophageal anatomy. Gastrointest Endosc 2002;56:426-30.
- Rex DK, Khashab M, Raju GS, et al. Insertability and safety of a shapelocking device for colonoscopy. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:817-20.
- Haber GWL. A prospective study to evaluate the ShapeLock guide to enable complete colonoscopy in previously failed cases [abstract]. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63:AB226.
- Raju GS, Pasricha PJ. ShapeLock: a rapid access port for redeployment of a colonoscope into the proximal colon to facilitate multiple polypectomies in a single session. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61:768-70.
- Cantero D, Monier C, Toor A, et al. Spirus endoease: multi-center experience with a new colonoscopy assist device [abstract]. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65:AB126.
- 29. Rex DK, Chen SC, Overhiser AJ. Colonoscopy technique in consecutive patients referred for prior incomplete colonoscopy. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;5:879-83.
- Berkelhammer C, Madhav G, Lyon S, et al. "Pinch" injury during overtube placement in upper endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 1993;39: 186-8.
- 31. Holderman WH, Etzkorn KP, Patel SA, et al. Endoscopic findings and overtube-related complications associated with esophageal variceal ligation. J Clin Gastroenterol 1995;21:91-4.
- Dinning JP, Jaffe PE. Delayed presentation of esophageal perforation as a result of overtube placement. J Clin Gastroenterol 1997;24:250-2.
- 33. el-Newihi HM, Mihas AA. Esophageal perforation as a complication of endoscopic overtube insertion. Am J Gastroenterol 1994;89:953-4.
- 34. Jeffries MA, Scheiman JM. Overtube separation. Gastrointest Endosc 1998;47:435-6.
- Levy R, Kaur S, Uzer M. Separation of an overtube from the bite block during band ligation of esophageal varices. Gastrointest Endosc 1997; 46:66-8.
- Barkin JS, Chong J, Reiner DK. First-generation video enteroscope: fourth-generation push-type small bowel enteroscopy utilizing an overtube. Gastrointest Endosc 1994;40:743-7.
- 37. Groenen MJ, Moreels TG, Orlent H, et al. Acute pancreatitis after double-balloon enteroscopy: an old pathogenetic theory revisited as a result of using a new endoscopic tool. Endoscopy 2006;38:82-5.
- Mensink PB, Haringsma J, Kucharzik T, et al. Complications of double balloon enteroscopy: a multicenter survey. Endoscopy 2007;39: 613-5.
- Rogers BH, Kot C, Meiri S, et al. An overtube for the flexible fiberoptic esophagogastroduodenoscope. Gastrointest Endosc 1982;28:256-7.
- 40. Mosca S, Manes G, Martino R, et al. Endoscopic management of foreign bodies in the upper gastrointestinal tract: report on a series of 414 adult patients. Endoscopy 2001;33:692-6.
- Bertoni G, Pacchione D, Sassatelli R, et al. A new protector device for safe endoscopic removal of sharp gastroesophageal foreign bodies in infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1993;16:393-6.

- Keate RF, Douglas DD. An improved safety maneuver for placing overtubes during endoscopic variceal ligation. Gastrointest Endosc 1994; 40:775-6.
- Makuuchi H. Esophageal endoscopic mucosal resection (EEMR) tube. Surg Laparosc Endosc 1996;6:160-1.
- 44. Ginsberg GG, Barkun AN, Bosco JJ, et al. Endoscopic anti-reflux procedures. Gastrointest Endosc 2002;56:625-8.
- 45. Hondo FY, Giordano-Nappi JH, Maluf-Filho F, et al. Transgastric access by balloon overtube for intraperitoneal surgery. Surg Endosc 2007;21: 1867-9.
- Dabezies MA, Krevsky B, Kolenda B. An overtube for decompression of sigmoid volvulus. Gastrointest Endosc 1988;34:491.
- Pasha SF, Harrison ME, Das A, et al. Utility of double-balloon colonoscopy for completion of colon examination after incomplete colonoscopy with conventional colonoscope. Gastrointest Endosc 2007;65: 848-53.
- Raju GS, Rex DK, Kozarek RA, et al. A novel shape-locking guide for prevention of sigmoid looping during colonoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc 2004;59:416-9.
- 49. Hawari R, Pasricha PJ. Going for the loop: a unique overtube for the difficult colonoscopy. J Clin Gastroenterol 2007;41:138-40.
- 50. Dickey W, Porter KG. Duodenoscope intubation of the oesophagus in the presence of pharyngeal pouch made possible by an overtube. Endoscopy 1995;27:212-3.
- 51. Wells GL, Gilliam J, Kitzman DW. A technique for performing transesophageal echocardiography in patients with Zenker's diverticulum. Echocardiography 2000;17:447-9.
- 52. Mohl W, Schneider A, Lutz MP. Endoscopic insertion of an M2A video capsule through an overtube. Endoscopy 2005;37:605.
- 53. Quan C, Chen G, Lee-Henderson M, et al. Overtube-assisted placement of wireless capsule endoscopy device. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61: 914-6.
- Reuss CS, Triester SL, Lynch JJ, et al. Esophageal overtube facilitation of transesophageal echocardiography in patients with previously difficult esophageal intubation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2007;20: 285-9.
- 55. Burke G, Shellito PC. Treatment of recurrent colonic pseudo-obstruction by endoscopic placement of a fenestrated overtube: report of a case. Dis Colon Rectum 1987;30:615-9.
- 56. Couto G. Overtube for preventing abdominal-wall metastasis after PEG-tube placement. Gastrointest Endosc 2006;63:1087.
- Maetani I, Yasuda M, Seike M, et al. Efficacy of an overtube for reducing the risk of peristomal infection after PEG placement: a prospective, randomized comparison study. Gastrointest Endosc 2005;61:522-7.

#### Prepared by:

ASGE TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE William M. Tierney, MD, Committee Chair Douglas G. Adler, MD Jason D. Conway, MD, MPH David L. Diehl, MD Francis A. Farraye, MD, MSc Sergey V. Kantsevoy, MD, PhD Vivek Kaul, MD Sripathi R. Kethu, MD Richard S. Kwon, MD Petar Mamula, MD, NASPGHAN representative Marcos C. Pedrosa, MD Sarah A. Rodriguez, MD

This document is a product of the ASGE Technology Assessment Committee. This document was reviewed and approved by the governing board of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy.