

## Race and ethnicity considerations in GI endoscopy

Prepared by: ASGE STANDARDS OF PRACTICE COMMITTEE

**Amy Wang, MD, FASGE, Aasma Shaukat, MD, MPH, FASGE, Ruben D. Acosta, MD, David H. Bruining, MD, Vinay Chandrasekhara, MD, Krishnavel V. Chathadi, MD, Mohamad A. Eloubeidi, MD, MHS, FASGE, Robert D. Fanelli, MD, FACS, FASGE, Ashley L. Faulx, MD, FASGE, Lisa Fonkalsrud, BSN, RN, CGRN, SGNA Representative, Suryakanth R. Gurudu, MD, FASGE, Loralee R. Kelsey, RN, CGRN, SGNA Representative, Mouen A. Khashab, MD, Shivangi Kothari, MD, Jenifer R. Lightdale, MD, MPH, FASGE, V. Raman Muthusamy, MD, FASGE, Shabana Pasha, MD, John R. Saltzman, MD, FASGE, Julie Yang, MD, Brooks D. Cash, MD, FASGE, Previous Committee Chair, John M. DeWitt, MD, FASGE, Chair**

This document was reviewed and approved by the Governing Board of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy.

*This is one of a series of statements discussing the use of GI endoscopy in common clinical situations. The Standards of Practice Committee of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) prepared this text. In preparing this guideline, a search of the medical literature was performed by using PubMed. Additional references were obtained from the bibliographies of the identified articles and from recommendations of expert consultants. When little or no data existed from well-designed prospective trials, emphasis was placed on results from large series and reports from recognized experts. Guidelines for appropriate use of endoscopy were based on a critical review of the available data and expert consensus at the time the guidelines were drafted. Further controlled clinical studies may be needed to clarify aspects of this guideline. This guideline may be revised as necessary to account for changes in technology, new data, or other aspects of clinical practice. The recommendations were based on reviewed studies and were graded on the quality of the supporting evidence (Table 1)<sup>1</sup> The strength of individual recommendations is based on both the aggregate evidence quality and an assessment of the anticipated benefits and harms. Weaker recommendations are indicated by phrases such as “we suggest,” whereas stronger recommendations are typically stated as “we recommend.”*

*This guideline is intended to be an educational device to provide information that may assist endoscopists in providing care to patients. This guideline is not a rule and should not be construed as establishing a legal standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment. Clinical decisions in any*

*particular case involve a complex analysis of the patient's condition and available courses of action. Therefore, clinical considerations may lead an endoscopist to take a course of action that varies from these guidelines. For the purposes of this document, the terms African American, Hispanic, and Caucasian will be used for consistency.*

The United States comprises a racially and ethnically diverse population that continues to differentiate. Over a 10-year period, the U.S. census observed a 43% increase in both Hispanic and Asian populations, whereas the Caucasian and African American populations increased at a smaller rate (5%-9%). In addition, the number of respondents reporting 2 or more racial backgrounds continues to rise.<sup>2</sup> Observations of differences in the prevalence or presentations of disease among racial and ethnic groups are important keys to disease diagnosis and management. This guideline will emphasize important differences in GI disease patterns among minority racial and ethnic groups in the United States, which may influence the practice of endoscopy in these patient populations. This guideline is not intended to serve as a comprehensive list of GI disease profiles for various racial and ethnic groups. Studies addressing the impact of modifying specific endoscopic standards of practice for conditions based on race and ethnicity are currently lacking. At the same time, it is important to recognize that these populations are not homogeneous and that additional factors, such as environment and behavior, also play important roles in disease.<sup>3</sup>

### ESOPHAGUS

#### Barrett's esophagus and adenocarcinoma

Barrett's esophagus (BE) is recognized as a precursor lesion for esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC), and screening

**TABLE 1. GRADE system for the quality of evidence for guidelines**

Quality of evidence	Definition	Symbol
High quality	Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.	⊕⊕⊕⊕
Moderate quality	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.	⊕⊕⊕○
Low quality	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.	⊕⊕○○
Very low quality	Any estimate of effect is very uncertain.	⊕○○○

GRADE, Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.  
Adapted from Guyatt et al.<sup>1</sup>

for BE is a well-established practice among endoscopists.<sup>4-6</sup> In the United States, there has been a 3-fold to 5-fold increase in the incidence of EAC over the past 3 decades.<sup>7-9</sup> Among racial and ethnic groups, the prevalence of EAC in Caucasian men is much higher (5.4/100,000) than in African Americans (1.4/100,000), Native Americans/Alaska Natives (3.0/100,000), and Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders (0.8/100,000).<sup>10</sup> Population studies have demonstrated a similar trend, with an observational study of a Kaiser membership population demonstrating highest annual incidences of BE in both sexes among non-Hispanic Caucasians (39/100,000), with lower rates among Hispanics (22/100,000), Asians (16/100,000), and African Americans (6/100,000).<sup>11</sup> Studies outside of the United States also suggest an overall low prevalence of BE in Asian patients, with ranges of 0.4% to 2.0%,<sup>12-16</sup> and a rise of EAC paralleling that of the United States has not been consistently observed.<sup>17-21</sup> Although it is postulated that acclimation to Western lifestyle and diet will translate into increased rates of GERD and its adverse events among immigrants in the United States, there are no available data to support this assertion. As such, Caucasian race is a risk factor for development of BE and EAC, and a cost-effectiveness analysis has supported the practice of endoscopic screening of Caucasian men aged >50 years who have GERD symptoms.<sup>22</sup> Recent guidelines also support screening patients with chronic GERD symptoms and multiple risk factors regardless of race or ethnicity, but note that the maximal yield will be in Caucasian men aged >50 years.<sup>5,23,24</sup>

### Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

The incidence of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in the United States is very low and decreasing. Among men, it is the most frequent esophageal malignancy in African Americans, with an annual incidence of 9.3/100,000 compared with only 2.0/100,000 in Caucasians, 2.5/100,000 in Native Americans/Alaska Natives, and 3.0/100,000 in Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders.<sup>25</sup> A similar pattern is seen among women, although the incidence is much lower (range 0.5/100,000-2.8/100,000). Incidence rates among new immigrants from regions of the world such as Northern China, India, and Northern Iran (areas that encompass the “esophageal cancer belt”) may be

higher, because SCC is common in these areas, with an annual incidence rate of 100/100,000.<sup>10</sup> Screening for esophageal squamous dysplasia with chromoendoscopy by using Lugol’s solution has been explored in these high-risk regions; however, widespread acceptance has been limited because of its invasiveness, low specificity, and high costs in low-resource communities.<sup>26</sup> There are no U.S. studies that investigate the use of endoscopic screening for SCC, and currently there are insufficient data to support race-specific or ethnicity-specific screening guidelines for this malignancy.

## STOMACH

### Gastric neoplasia and *Helicobacter pylori* infection

Gastric cancer is the 16th most common cause of cancer in the United States but remains one of the leading causes of cancer mortality worldwide.<sup>27,28</sup> The incidence of gastric cancer is high in Asia-Pacific regions including Japan, Korea, China, Taiwan, and Malaysia as well as South America, Central Europe, South Africa, and Russia.<sup>29-31</sup> The reported incidence of gastric cancer is much lower in the United States but is significantly higher among African Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans compared with Caucasians.<sup>4,32</sup> Between 2007 and 2011, the incidence of gastric cancer in the United States per 100,000 men was 9.2 for Caucasians, compared with 15.3 for African Americans, 14.9 for Asians, 12.9 for Native Americans, and 14.8 for Hispanics. During the same period, the incidence of gastric cancer in the United States per 100,000 women was 4.5 for Caucasians, 8.5 for African Americans, 9.0 for Asians, 7.3 for Native Americans, and 8.3 for Hispanics.<sup>32</sup> The majority of gastric cancers are diagnosed late and are associated with a poor prognosis. Thus, screening and surveillance strategies for high-risk populations have been advocated.

In 1994, the World Health Organization classified *Helicobacter pylori* infection as a type I carcinogen in humans.<sup>33</sup> Systematic reviews of case-control studies suggest that 65% to 80% of non-cardia gastric adenocarcinomas can be attributed to this infection.<sup>34,35</sup> In Chinese, Korean,

Japanese, and Vietnamese populations, *H pylori* infection rates can be as high as 51% to 72%,<sup>36-40</sup> whereas the prevalence of infection in areas of South America, Russia, and Siberia is 41%, 64%, and 94%, respectively.<sup>41-43</sup> Population screening and treatment of the bacterium in high-risk areas is preferably performed before the development of precancerous gastric lesions. Several campaigns in Korea, Japan, and areas of China and Taiwan suggest that eradication in a high-risk population significantly reduces the incidence of gastric cancer.<sup>44,45</sup> Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis of 6 randomized controlled trials indicated that screening for and eradicating *H pylori* reduces the incidence of gastric cancer in healthy, asymptomatic, infected Asian individuals (relative risk 0.66; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.46-0.95).<sup>46</sup> Cost-effectiveness models indicate that screening for and treating *H pylori* has the potential to reduce the risk for gastric cancer at a reasonable cost, and this benefit is even more evident for groups at high risk for the development of gastric cancer.<sup>47-49</sup> Current Japanese guidelines on gastric cancer screening do not advocate universal screening for *H pylori*,<sup>50</sup> although the most recent Asia-Pacific gastric cancer consensus guidelines suggest that in high-risk countries, screening for *H pylori* infection should start beginning as early as 18 years of age, or 10 to 20 years before the initial incidence of gastric cancer begins to rise within the population. *H pylori* screening is not recommended for low-risk populations.<sup>51</sup>

The prevalence and incidence of *H pylori* infection in the United States varies among different racial and ethnic groups. One study from 1992 found that—when data were adjusted for age, income, and education—African Americans and Hispanics had an *H pylori* prevalence of 70% to 80%, whereas the prevalence was only 34% in Caucasians.<sup>52</sup> A more recent study demonstrated that among a bariatric treatment population, prevalence of *H pylori* was 36% in Hispanics, 29% in African Americans, and 15% in Caucasians ( $P = .008$ ).<sup>53</sup> A study of sera collected from 1988 to 1991 as part of the National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey found age-adjusted prevalence rates of *H pylori* to be 52.7% in non-Hispanic African Americans and 61.6% in Mexican Americans, compared with 26.2% in non-Hispanic Caucasians.<sup>54</sup> When data were adjusted further for other risk factors, both minority groups were 2 to 3 times more likely to harbor *H pylori* infection than non-Hispanic Caucasians, although evidence suggests that *H pylori* prevalence may decrease with successive generations in the United States.<sup>55</sup>

The precancerous conditions mediated by chronic *H pylori* infection include atrophic gastritis (AG), intestinal metaplasia (IM), and dysplasia. In one study from the southwestern United States, the prevalence of gastric IM was significantly higher in Hispanics and African Americans combined (50%) compared with non-Hispanic Caucasians (13%).<sup>56</sup> This study also found that AG and IM in this population were associated with a low gastric cancer risk. A recent study examining a large, multiethnic population in

the United States demonstrated that those of Hispanic and Asian ancestry were more likely to have IM and AG compared with Caucasians and African Americans.<sup>57</sup> There are insufficient data to support universal surveillance of AG and gastric IM, although patients at perceived increased risk of gastric cancer based on race or ethnic background or family history may benefit from surveillance for gastric IM.

In select Asian countries, biennial endoscopic, fluorographic, or upper GI radiographic screening of asymptomatic individuals for gastric cancer is performed, typically beginning around 40 years of age.<sup>50,58</sup> In Japan and Korea, where the prevalence of gastric cancer is among the highest in the world, screening programs may have contributed to an earlier detection and significant decrease in gastric cancer mortality,<sup>51,59</sup> although some studies suggest that this observed decrease also may stem from changes in diet and lifestyle.<sup>60</sup> Several additional studies support endoscopic screening of gastric cancer as an appropriate and cost-effective practice in mass populations with a high incidence of disease.<sup>61-64</sup> A recent study of cancer incidence and mortality in East Asian Americans in California found that Chinese, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese Americans had higher rates of death from gastric cancer than non-Hispanic European Americans, with Koreans having the greatest risk among these groups.<sup>65</sup> There are no studies that demonstrate a beneficial effect of endoscopic screening for gastric cancer in these high-risk racial or ethnic groups in the United States. However, in accordance with recent recommendations regarding screening for gastric cancer in populations within the Asian-Pacific region,<sup>51</sup> endoscopic screening for gastric cancer in first-generation U.S. immigrants from high-risk regions (ie, Japan, China, Russia, and South America) may be considered for those aged 40 years, particularly if there is a family history of gastric cancer in a first-degree relative.<sup>66</sup>

## COLON

### Colorectal neoplasia

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major public health issue in the United States because it is the second leading cause of cancer mortality.<sup>67,68</sup> The incidence of CRC in the United States is among the highest in the world, and screening has reduced mortality.<sup>69-71</sup> Differences in CRC incidence and mortality exist between racial and ethnic groups. For example, African Americans with CRC have a higher mortality risk compared with Caucasians<sup>72,73</sup> and a younger age at presentation.<sup>74-76</sup> However, it is unknown whether these differences are related to tumor biology,<sup>77</sup> disparities in access to health care<sup>78</sup> or treatment,<sup>79</sup> socioeconomic factors,<sup>80</sup> or cultural barriers.<sup>81</sup> A recent study on cancer incidence that used data from the National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program<sup>82</sup> hypothesized that reported cancer-diagnosis age might be over-stated for the younger African American population

because of proportionally fewer African Americans in the older age group. By adjusting for differences in population age distribution, this study demonstrated a reduction in the difference in cancer-diagnosis age to <3 years.<sup>83</sup> Data regarding prevalence of adenomatous polyps in various race and/or ethnicity populations are mixed. An analysis of data from the Clinical Outcomes Research Initiative regarding the prevalence and location of polyps identified on screening colonoscopy determined that African American men (odds ratio [OR] 1.16; 95% CI, 1.01-1.34) and women (OR 1.62; 95% CI, 1.39-1.89) were more likely than age-matched Caucasians to have polyps >9 mm in diameter.<sup>84</sup> When analyzed by age, African American men ( $P = .03$ ) and women ( $P < .001$ ) were more likely than Caucasian men and women to have polyps >9 mm in diameter proximal to the splenic flexure. A more recent study of more than 20,000 patients also demonstrated that proximal adenomas were more common among African Americans than Caucasians, but the overall prevalence of adenomas was similar by race.<sup>85</sup> Recognition of differences in CRC incidence and mortality rates as well as disparities in health care among African Americans compared with Caucasians has led some to suggest that African Americans should be screened earlier than Caucasians.<sup>3,86</sup> This suggestion is advocated by some groups recommending that CRC screening in African American men and women begin at <50 years of age, with the preferred test being colonoscopy.<sup>87-89</sup> Potential advantages of this strategy are increasing awareness and possibly compliance with screening for certain racial and/or ethnic groups. The potential disadvantages are burdening the limited colonoscopy capacity, adding undue anxiety to African American patients, length-time and lead-time bias, and adding complexity to current screening guidelines, which may decrease overall compliance. Studies are needed to compare the effectiveness of earlier screening strategies in African Americans and the downstream effects of this recommendation. Variables from earlier screening that require investigation include the impact on the incidence of cancer diagnosis, differences in treatment and survival, and understanding the programmatic implications of alternative screening strategies. In the meantime, physicians should continue shared decision making with their patients, taking into account risk factors, compliance with screening, and other socioeconomic and cultural factors, and they should decide patient age for screening and modality of screening.

The latest data from SEER<sup>82</sup> show that CRC incidence rates in Hispanics are similar to those in non-Hispanic Caucasians and are declining. A prospective cohort study showed that both Hispanic and African American populations had rates of advanced neoplasia (10.8% and 12.2%, respectively) similar to those observed in the Caucasian population.<sup>90</sup> However, CRC screening rates in Hispanics are lower than in Caucasians and African Americans.<sup>91</sup> Although recent SEER data also suggest that CRC incidence rates in Native Americans are declining, a recent

study investigating CRC incidence among American Indians and Alaskan Natives demonstrates varying trends throughout different regions of the United States.<sup>82,92</sup> A recent analysis of cancer incidence and mortality of various East Asian American populations in California identified a higher rate of CRC in Japanese Americans compared with other Asian populations and non-Hispanic European Americans.<sup>65</sup> Current data are insufficient to determine whether or not early screening in these minority populations is needed or cost-effective on a population scale. Therefore, current population-based CRC screening recommendations should be followed with these patients, with deviations based on clinical judgment.

### Screening rates and strategies

Abundant data demonstrate that CRC screening is effective in reducing colon cancer incidence and mortality,<sup>67,93,94</sup> and guidelines for screening are well-established. Despite this, participation in CRC screening programs among minority ethnic and racial groups lags behind that of Caucasian Americans,<sup>95</sup> yet these differences may be decreasing. The 2012 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System survey<sup>91</sup> found that rates of screening (about 65%) were the same for Caucasians and African Americans. As an aggregate group, Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders have lower screening rates compared with Caucasians (54% vs 65%). Filipinos, Koreans, Pacific Islanders, and South Asians are less likely than Chinese, Japanese, and Vietnamese to receive colorectal cancer screening.<sup>96,97</sup>

As screening programs develop and evolve for GI-related cancers, it is imperative to ascertain or be cognizant of factors among ethnic and racial groups that may impede participation. These factors may include differences in socioeconomic status, access to health care, cultural attitudes, religious beliefs, and communication barriers.<sup>98-100</sup> Many public health intervention programs have explored these variables to maximize screening among minority groups. Tailored patient education programs with navigation services may improve compliance with CRC screening<sup>99,101,102</sup> and may be adequate to overcome cultural obstacles to screening. Several studies have suggested that specialized strategies for screening recruitment, such as proactive mailing of fecal occult stool testing cards, physician-directed recommendations, and broadening colon cancer screening modality options may increase screening in specific racial and/or ethnic groups.<sup>99,103-105</sup> Further research is necessary to explore and refine approaches to maximizing participation among diverse groups.

In summary, several GI diseases demonstrate racial and ethnic differences in epidemiology. Practitioners should be aware of these differences, because alteration of diagnostic and management strategies may help reduce racial and ethnic disparity in health care outcomes. As screening programs develop and evolve, health care providers should be cognizant of socioeconomic and cultural factors that may impact participation.

## DISCLOSURE

*K. Chabadi and V. Chandrasekhara are consultants to Boston Scientific. R. Fanelli is the owner and director of New Wave Surgical, owner of Allusion Technologies, owner of Mosaic Medical, advisor and receiver of royalties from Cook Surgical, and consultant to Endogastric Solutions. M. Khasbab is a consultant to and member of the Medical Advisory Board for Boston Scientific and a consultant to Olympus and receives research support from Cook Medical. V. Muthusamy is a consultant to Boston Scientific and Covidien GI Solutions and is a stockholder in CapsoVision. All other authors disclosed no financial relationships relevant to this publication.*

## RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Although Caucasian race is a recognized risk factor for Barrett's esophagus, we suggest that screening EGD for Barrett's esophagus or esophageal cancer should be based on the presence of multiple risk factors rather than ethnicity alone. ⊕⊕○○
2. We do not recommend screening EGD for squamous cell carcinoma based solely on race or ethnicity because of insufficient supporting evidence. ⊕○○○
3. We suggest screening for and treating *H pylori* in racial and/or ethnic groups at high risk for gastric cancer. ⊕⊕○○
4. We suggest EGD for surveillance in patients with gastric atrophic gastritis or intestinal metaplasia coupled with an increased risk of gastric cancer because of ethnic background, extensive anatomic distribution, or family history. ⊕⊕○○
5. Screening EGD for gastric cancer may be considered in new U.S. immigrants from high-risk regions around the world including Korea, Japan, China, Russia, and South America, especially if there is a family history of gastric cancer in a first-degree relative. ⊕⊕○○
6. We suggest that CRC screening strategies be emphasized and personalized for minority racial and ethnic groups who have lower screening utilization rates. ⊕⊕○○

*Abbreviations: ASGE, American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy; AG, atrophic gastritis; BE, Barrett's esophagus; CRC, colorectal cancer; EAC, esophageal adenocarcinoma; IM, intestinal metaplasia; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results.*

## REFERENCES

1. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE Working Group. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924-6.
2. Humes KR, Jones NA, Ramirez RR. Overview of race and Hispanic origin: 2010. 2010 Census Briefs. Available at: <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-02.pdf>. Accessed December 7, 2014. Issued March 2011 (online).
3. Shriver MD. Ethnic variation as a key to the biology of human disease. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;127:401-3.
4. ASGE Standards of Practice Committee; Hirota WK, Zuckerman MJ, Adler DG, et al. ASGE guideline: the role of endoscopy in the surveillance of premalignant conditions of the upper GI tract. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2006;63:570-80.
5. Wang KK, Sampliner RE. Updated guidelines 2008 for the diagnosis, surveillance and therapy of Barrett's esophagus. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2008;103:788-97.
6. Fock KM, Talley NJ, Fass R, et al. Asia-Pacific consensus on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease: update. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;23:8-22.
7. Bollschweiler E, Wolfgarten E, Gutschow C, et al. Demographic variations in the rising incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma in white males. *Cancer* 2001;92:549-55.
8. Brown LM, Devesa SS, Chow WH. Incidence of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus among white Americans by sex, stage, and age. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2008;100:1184-7.
9. Crane SJ, Richard Locke G 3rd, Harmsen WS, et al. The changing incidence of oesophageal and gastric adenocarcinoma by anatomic subsite. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;25:447-53.
10. Rustgi AK. Esophageal neoplasms. *Textbook of gastroenterology*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999. p.1278-303.
11. Corley DA, Kubo A, Levin TR, et al. Race, ethnicity, sex and temporal differences in Barrett's oesophagus diagnosis: a large community-based study, 1994-2006. *Gut* 2009;58:182-8.
12. Rosaida MS, Goh KL. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, reflux oesophagitis and non-erosive reflux disease in a multiracial Asian population: a prospective, endoscopy based study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;16:495-501.
13. Wong WM, Lam SK, Hui WM, et al. Long-term prospective follow-up of endoscopic oesophagitis in southern Chinese—prevalence and spectrum of the disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2002;16:2037-42.
14. Tseng PH, Lee YC, Chiu HM, et al. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of Barrett's esophagus in a Chinese general population. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2008;42:1074-9.
15. Yilmaz N, Tuncer K, Tuncyurek M, et al. The prevalence of Barrett's esophagus and erosive esophagitis in a tertiary referral center in Turkey. *Turk J Gastroenterol* 2006;17:79-83.
16. Bafandeh Y, Esmaili H, Aharizad S. Endoscopic and histologic findings in Iranian patients with heartburn. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2005;24:236-8.
17. Yee YK, Cheung TK, Chan AO, et al. Decreasing trend of esophageal adenocarcinoma in Hong Kong. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2007;16:2637-40.
18. Fernandes ML, Seow A, Chan YH, et al. Opposing trends in incidence of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma in a multi-ethnic Asian country. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101:1430-6.
19. Chung JW, Lee GH, Choi KS, et al. Unchanging trend of esophagogastric junction adenocarcinoma in Korea: experience at a single institution based on Siewert's classification. *Dis Esophagus* 2009;22:676-81.
20. Lu CL, Lang HC, Luo JC, et al. Increasing trend of the incidence of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, but not adenocarcinoma, in Taiwan. *Cancer Causes Control* 2010;21:269-74.
21. Chen MJ, Lee YC, Chiu HM, et al. Time trends of endoscopic and pathological diagnoses related to gastroesophageal reflux disease in a Chinese population: eight years single institution experience. *Dis Esophagus* 2010;23:201-7.
22. Inadomi JM, Sampliner R, Lagergren J, et al. Screening and surveillance for Barrett esophagus in high-risk groups: a cost-utility analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;138:176-86.
23. Spechler SJ, Sharma P, Souza RF, et al. American Gastroenterological Association medical position statement on the management of Barrett's esophagus. *Gastroenterology* 2011;140:1084-91.
24. Fitzgerald RC, di Pietro M, Ragnunath K, et al. British Society of Gastroenterology guidelines on the diagnosis and management of Barrett's oesophagus. *Gut* 2014;63:7-42.

25. Trivers KF, Sabatino SA, Stewart SL. Trends in esophageal cancer incidence by histology, United States, 1998-2003. *Int J Cancer* 2008;123:1422-8.
26. Roshandel G, Nourouzi A, Pourshams A, et al. Endoscopic screening for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Arch Iran Med* 2013;16:351-7.
27. Cancer Research UK. Worldwide cancer incidence statistics. Available at: <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-info/cancerstats/world/incidence/#Common>. Accessed December 7, 2014.
28. International Agency for Research on Cancer. World Health Organization. GLOBOCAN 2012: Estimated cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide in 2012. Available at: <http://globocan.iarc.fr/>. Accessed December 7, 2014.
29. Correa P, Piazuelo MB, Camargo MC. The future of gastric cancer prevention. *Gastric Cancer* 2004;7:9-16.
30. Pineros M, Gamboa O, Hernandez-Suarez G, et al. Patterns and trends in cancer mortality in Colombia 1984-2008. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2013;37:233-9.
31. Nakashima JP, Koifman RJ, Koifman S. Cancer incidence in the Western Amazon: population-based estimates in Rio Branco, Acre State, Brazil, 2007-2009. *Cad Saude Publica* 2012;28:2125-32.
32. National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. SEER stat fact sheets: Stomach cancer. Available at: <http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/stomach.html>. Accessed December 7, 2014.
33. Schistosomes, liver flukes and *Helicobacter pylori*. IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. Lyon, 7-14 June 1994. *IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum* 1994;61:1-241.
34. Gastric cancer and *Helicobacter pylori*: a combined analysis of 12 case control studies nested within prospective cohorts. *Gut* 2001;49:347-53.
35. Wang C, Yuan Y, Hunt RH. The association between *Helicobacter pylori* infection and early gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;102:1789-98.
36. Matsuhisa TM, Yamada NY, Kato SK, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection, mucosal atrophy and intestinal metaplasia in Asian populations: a comparative study in age-, gender- and endoscopic diagnosis-matched subjects. *Helicobacter* 2003;8:29-35.
37. Li Z, Zou D, Ma X, et al. Epidemiology of peptic ulcer disease: endoscopic results of the systematic investigation of gastrointestinal disease in China. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:2570-7.
38. Nam SY, Choi IJ, Ryu KH, et al. Effect of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and its eradication on reflux esophagitis and reflux symptoms. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2010;105:2153-62.
39. Nguyen TL, Uchida T, Tsukamoto Y, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastroduodenal diseases in Vietnam: a cross-sectional, hospital-based study. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2010;10:114.
40. Nakajima S, Nishiyama Y, Yamaoka M, et al. Changes in the prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and gastrointestinal diseases in the past 17 years. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2010;25(suppl 1):S99-110.
41. Eusebi LH, Zagari RM, Bazzoli F. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Helicobacter* 2014;19(suppl 1):1-5.
42. Svarval AV, Ferman RS, Zhebrun AB. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection among population of Northwestern Federal District of Russian Federation [In Russian with English abstract]. *Zh Mikrobiol Epidemiol Immunobiol* 2011;76:84-8.
43. Tsukanov VV, Butorin NN, Maady AS, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection, intestinal metaplasia, and gastric cancer risk in Eastern Siberia. *Helicobacter* 2011;16:107-12.
44. Ma JL, Zhang L, Brown LM, et al. Fifteen-year effects of *Helicobacter pylori*, garlic, and vitamin treatments on gastric cancer incidence and mortality. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2012;104:488-92.
45. Lee YC, Chen TH, Chiu HM, et al. The benefit of mass eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a community-based study of gastric cancer prevention. *Gut* 2013;62:676-82.
46. Ford AC, Forman D, Hunt RH, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy to prevent gastric cancer in healthy asymptomatic infected individuals: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2014;348:g3174.
47. Cheng HC, Wang JD, Chen WY, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* test-and-treat program can be cost-effective to prevent gastric cancer in Taiwanese adults: referred to the Nationwide Reimbursement Database. *Helicobacter* 2015;20:114-24.
48. Schulz TR, McBryde ES, Leder K, et al. Using stool antigen to screen for *Helicobacter pylori* in immigrants and refugees from high prevalence countries is relatively cost effective in reducing the burden of gastric cancer and peptic ulceration. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e108610.
49. Wiwanitkit V. *Helicobacter pylori* screening to prevent gastric cancer: an economical analysis for a tropical developing country. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2010;11:571-2.
50. Hamashima C, Shibuya D, Yamazaki H, et al. The Japanese guidelines for gastric cancer screening. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2008;38:259-67.
51. Fock KM, Talley N, Moayyedi P, et al. Asia-Pacific consensus guidelines on gastric cancer prevention. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;23:351-65.
52. Malaty HM, Evans DG, Evans DJ Jr, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* in Hispanics: comparison with blacks and whites of similar age and socioeconomic class. *Gastroenterology* 1992;103:813-6.
53. Portocarrero DJ, Olafsson S, Jackson CS, et al. Obese minorities have a higher prevalence of *H. pylori* than do whites, but nonsignificant differences in upper gastrointestinal tract findings, before laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2012;46:431-2.
54. Everhart JE, Kruszon-Moran D, Perez-Perez GI, et al. Seroprevalence and ethnic differences in *Helicobacter pylori* infection among adults in the United States. *J Infect Dis* 2000;181:1359-63.
55. Tsai CJ, Perry S, Sanchez L, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection in different generations of Hispanics in the San Francisco Bay Area. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;162:351-7.
56. Fennerty MB, Emerson JC, Sampliner RE, et al. Gastric intestinal metaplasia in ethnic groups in the southwestern United States. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1992;1:293-6.
57. Choi CE, Sonnenberg A, Turner K, et al. High prevalence of gastric preneoplastic lesions in East Asians and Hispanics in the USA. *Dig Dis Sci* 2015;60:2070-6.
58. Leung WK, Wu MS, Kakugawa Y, et al. Screening for gastric cancer in Asia: current evidence and practice. *Lancet Oncol* 2008;9:279-87.
59. Chung SJ, Park MJ, Kang SJ, et al. Effect of annual endoscopic screening on clinicopathologic characteristics and treatment modality of gastric cancer in a high-incidence region of Korea. *Int J Cancer* 2012;131:2376-84.
60. Howson CP, Hiyama T, Wynder EL. The decline in gastric cancer: epidemiology of an unplanned triumph. *Epidemiol Rev* 1986;8:1-27.
61. Aida K, Yoshikawa H, Mochizuki C, et al. Clinicopathological features of gastric cancer detected by endoscopy as part of annual health checkup. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;23:632-7.
62. Hamashima C, Ogoshi K, Narisawa R, et al. Impact of endoscopic screening on mortality reduction from gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015;21:2460-6.
63. Jung KW, Won YJ, Kong HJ, et al. Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2011. *Cancer Res Treat* 2014;46:109-23.
64. Chang HS, Park EC, Chung W, et al. Comparing endoscopy and upper gastrointestinal X-ray for gastric cancer screening in South Korea: a cost-utility analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2012;13:2721-8.
65. McCracken M, Olsen M, Chen MS Jr, et al. Cancer incidence, mortality, and associated risk factors among Asian Americans of Chinese, Filipino, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese ethnicities. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2007;57:190-205.
66. Brenner H, Arndt V, Sturmer T, et al. Individual and joint contribution of family history and *Helicobacter pylori* infection to the risk of gastric carcinoma. *Cancer* 2000;88:274-9.

67. Siegel R, Desantis C, Jemal A. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2014;64:104-17.
68. Jemal A, Tiwari RC, Murray T, et al. Cancer statistics, 2004. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2004;54:8-29.
69. Winawer SJ, Fletcher RH, Miller L, et al. Colorectal cancer screening: clinical guidelines and rationale. *Gastroenterology* 1997;112:594-642.
70. Lin OS, Kozarek RA, Cha JM. Impact of sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: an evidence-based review of published prospective and retrospective studies. *Intest Res* 2014;12:268-74.
71. Corley DA, Levin TR, Doubeni CA. Adenoma detection rate and risk of colorectal cancer and death. *N Engl J Med* 2014;370:2541.
72. Jinjuvadia R, Jinjuvadia K, Liangpunsakul S. Racial disparities in gastrointestinal cancers-related mortality in the U.S. population. *Dig Dis Sci* 2013;58:236-43.
73. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2013;63:11-30.
74. Wallace K, Sterba KR, Gore E, et al. Prognostic factors in relation to racial disparity in advanced colorectal cancer survival. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* 2013;12:287-93.
75. Karami S, Young HA, Henson DE. Earlier age at diagnosis: another dimension in cancer disparity? *Cancer Detect Prev* 2007;31:29-34.
76. Fairley TL, Cardinez CJ, Martin J, et al. Colorectal cancer in U.S. adults younger than 50 years of age, 1998-2001. *Cancer* 2006;107:1153-61.
77. Polite BN, Dignam JJ, Olopade OL. Colorectal cancer and race: understanding the differences in outcomes between African Americans and whites. *Med Clin North Am* 2005;89:771-93.
78. Mayberry RM, Coates RJ, Hill HA, et al. Determinants of black/white differences in colon cancer survival. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1995;87:1686-93.
79. Simpson DR, Martinez ME, Gupta S, et al. Racial disparity in consultation, treatment, and the impact on survival in metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2013;105:1814-20.
80. Gorey KM, Haji-Jama S, Bartfay E, et al. Lack of access to chemotherapy for colon cancer: multiplicative disadvantage of being extremely poor, inadequately insured and African American. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2014;14:133.
81. McAlearney AS, Reeves KW, Dickinson SL, et al. Racial differences in colorectal cancer screening practices and knowledge within a low-income population. *Cancer* 2008;112:391-8.
82. National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. Available at: <http://seer.cancer.gov/archive/publications/ethnicity/racial-ethnic-monograph.pdf>. p. 40. Accessed December 7, 2014.
83. Robbins HA, Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, et al. Age at cancer diagnosis for blacks compared with whites in the United States. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2015;107 pii: dju489.
84. Lieberman DA, Holub JL, Moravec MD, et al. Prevalence of colon polyps detected by colonoscopy screening in asymptomatic black and white patients. *JAMA* 2008;300:1417-22.
85. Corley DA, Jensen CD, Marks AR, et al. Variation of adenoma prevalence by age, sex, race, and colon location in a large population: implications for screening and quality programs. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2013;11:172-80.
86. Agrawal S, Bhupinderjit A, Bhutani MS, et al. Colorectal cancer in African Americans. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005;100:515-23; discussion 514.
87. Rex DK, Johnson DA, Anderson JC, et al. American College of Gastroenterology guidelines for colorectal cancer screening 2009 [corrected]. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009;104:739-50.
88. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee opinion no 609: colorectal cancer screening strategies. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014;124:849-55.
89. Qaseem A, Denberg TD, Hopkins RH Jr, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: a guidance statement from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;156:378-86.
90. Lee KK, Jandorf L, Thelemaque L, et al. Colorectal neoplasia detection among black and Latino individuals undergoing screening colonoscopy: a prospective cohort study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2014;79:466-72.
91. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/>. Accessed December 7, 2014.
92. White MC, Espey DK, Swan J, et al. Disparities in cancer mortality and incidence among American Indians and Alaska Natives in the United States. *Am J Public Health* 2014;104(suppl 3):S377-87.
93. Shaikat A, Mongin SJ, Geisser MS, et al. Long-term mortality after screening for colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:1106-14.
94. Kronborg O, Fenger C, Olsen J, et al. Randomised study of screening for colorectal cancer with faecal-occult-blood test. *Lancet* 1996;348:1467-71.
95. Abrams JA, Fields S, Lightdale CJ, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities in the prevalence of Barrett's esophagus among patients who undergo upper endoscopy. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008;6:30-4.
96. Lee HY, Lundquist M, Ju E, et al. Colorectal cancer screening disparities in Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders: Which groups are most vulnerable? *Ethn Health* 2011;16:501-18.
97. Wong ST, Gildengorin G, Nguyen T, et al. Disparities in colorectal cancer screening rates among Asian Americans and non-Latino whites. *Cancer* 2005;104:2940-7.
98. Soneji S, Armstrong K, Asch DA. Socioeconomic and physician supply determinants of racial disparities in colorectal cancer screening. *J Oncol Pract* 2013;8:e125-34.
99. Naylor K, Ward J, Polite BN. Interventions to improve care related to colorectal cancer among racial and ethnic minorities: a systematic review. *J Gen Intern Med* 2012;27:1033-46.
100. Richards CA, Kerker BD, Thorpe L, et al. Increased screening colonoscopy rates and reduced racial disparities in the New York Citywide campaign: an urban model. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106:1880-6.
101. Christy SM, Perkins SM, Tong Y, et al. Promoting colorectal cancer screening discussion: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Prev Med* 2013;44:325-9.
102. Wong CR, Bloomfield ER, Crookes DM, et al. Barriers and facilitators to adherence to screening colonoscopy among African-Americans: a mixed-methods analysis. *J Cancer Educ* 2013;28:722-8.
103. Gupta S, Halm EA, Rockey DC, et al. Comparative effectiveness of fecal immunochemical test outreach, colonoscopy outreach, and usual care for boosting colorectal cancer screening among the underserved: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2013;173:1725-32.
104. Inadomi JM, Vijan S, Janz NK, et al. Adherence to colorectal cancer screening: a randomized clinical trial of competing strategies. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;172:575-82.
105. Laiyemo AO, Adebogun AO, Doubeni CA, et al. Influence of provider discussion and specific recommendation on colorectal cancer screening uptake among U.S. adults. *Prev Med* 2014;67:1-5.