



Guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis for GI endoscopy

This is one of a series of statements discussing the utilization of GI endoscopy in common clinical situations. The Standards of Practice Committee of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy prepared this text. In preparing this guideline, a MEDLINE literature search was performed, and additional references were obtained from the bibliographies of the identified articles and from recommendations of expert consultants. When little or no data exist from well-designed prospective trials, emphasis is given to results from large series and reports from recognized experts.

Guidelines for appropriate utilization of endoscopy are based on a critical review of the available data and expert consensus. Further controlled clinical studies are needed to clarify aspects of this statement, and revision may be necessary as new data appear. Clinical consideration may justify a course of action at variance to these recommendations.

GI ENDOSCOPY AND THE RISK OF INFECTIOUS COMPLICATIONS

The role of antibiotic prophylaxis is to reduce the possibility of a significant infectious complication. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials, however, will likely never be performed. What can be extrapolated from the literature is the number of reports of infectious complications and the incidence of bacteremia associated with common endoscopic procedures. This review updates the 1995 ASGE guideline on this subject.¹

Despite the large number of endoscopic procedures performed annually, there are few case reports of bacterial endocarditis seen after the procedure.²⁻¹⁶ Four of the reported 15 cases of endocarditis are associated with procedures at high risk for bacteremia, such as esophageal dilation^{4,8} and esophageal sclerotherapy.^{11,14} The remaining eleven case reports occurred with gastroscopy,^{2,3,9,13,15-16} sigmoidoscopy,^{5-7,12} and colonoscopy.¹⁰ Other rarely reported infectious complications associated with esophageal sclerotherapy and dilations have included bacterial peritonitis, central nervous system (CNS) infections, and a perinephric abscess.¹⁷

High-risk procedures are those procedures associated with a high incidence of bacteremia.¹ Although bacteremia may occur and has been advocated as a

surrogate marker for endocarditis risk, clinically significant infections are rare. The highest bacteremia rates have been seen in patients undergoing esophageal dilation of a stricture and in sclerotherapy of esophageal varices.¹⁸ Earlier estimates of the mean frequency of bacteremia encountered with esophageal dilation (45%) and esophageal sclerotherapy (31%) were based on the compilation of 4 studies each of 59¹⁹⁻²² and 61 patients,²³⁻²⁶ respectively. The majority of organisms isolated in blood cultures were mouth commensals such as *Streptococcus viridans*. However, in one study of patients undergoing bougienage, the source of bacteremia appeared to be from organisms isolated from the dilators and not from mouth commensals.¹⁹ Because of the methodologic differences in each study, the true rate of bacteremia may have been overestimated.

Three recent prospective studies may represent a more accurate assessment of the true bacteremia rate after esophageal bougienage, and it is estimated to be between 12% and 22%.²⁷⁻²⁹ In one study, blood cultures obtained before and after stricture dilation in 103 patients without valvular heart disease were compared with a control group of 50 patients undergoing endoscopy without dilation. The bacteremia rates were 21% vs. 2%, respectively. The organism isolated in 19 of the 24 positive blood cultures was *S viridans*. Of these 19 patients, bacteremia persisted for up to 30 minutes in two (10%).²⁷ There were no infectious complications noted.

In a second study involving 86 patients undergoing 100 total dilations, 22% had a positive post-bougienage blood culture. Bacteremia was more frequent with dilation of a malignant stricture compared with a benign stricture, and during passage of multiple dilators compared with a single dilation. Organisms cultured from blood were not transmitted from the dilator. There were no infectious complications noted.²⁸

In a third study investigating the efficacy of an oral antibiotic rinse, the total rate of bacteremia after esophageal dilation of benign and malignant strictures in 59 patients was 12%. All organisms isolated were mouth commensals. No infectious complications occurred.²⁹

Endoscopic variceal ligation (EVL) generally has supplanted esophageal sclerotherapy because of its

Table 1. Antibiotic prophylaxis for endoscopic procedures

Patient condition	Procedure contemplated	Antibiotic prophylaxis
High risk: Prosthetic valve History of endocarditis Syst-pulm shunt Synth vasc graft (<1 y old) Complex cyanotic congenital heart disease	Stricture dilation Variceal sclerotherapy ERCP/obstructed biliary tree Other endoscopic procedures, including EGD and colonoscopy (with or without biopsy/polypectomy), variceal ligation	Recommended Prophylaxis optional
Moderate risk: Most other congenital abnormalities Acquired valvular dysfunction (e.g., rheumatic heart disease) Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy Mitral valve prolapse with regurgitation or thickened leaflets	Esophageal stricture dilation Variceal sclerotherapy Other endoscopic procedures, including EGD and colonoscopy (with or without biopsy/polypectomy), variceal ligation	Prophylaxis optional Not recommended
Low risk: Other cardiac conditions (CABG, repaired septal defect or patent ductus, mitral valve prolapse without valvular regurgitation, isolated secundum atrial septal defect, physiologic/functional/innocent heart murmurs, rheumatic fever without valvular dysfunction, pacemakers, implantable defibrillators)	All endoscopic procedures	Not recommended
Obstructed bile duct	ERCP	Recommended
Pancreatic cystic lesion	ERCP, EUS-FNA	Recommended
Cirrhosis acute GI bleed	All endoscopic procedures	Recommended
Ascites, immunocompromised patient	Stricture dilation Variceal sclerotherapy Other endoscopic procedures, including EGD and colonoscopy (with or without biopsy/polypectomy), variceal ligation	No recommendation Not recommended
All patients	Percutaneous endoscopic feeding tube placement	Recommended (parenteral cephalosporin or equivalent)
Prosthetic joints	All endoscopic procedures	Not recommended
Cardiac prophylaxis regimens (oral 1 h before, IM or IV 30 min before procedure) Amoxicillin by mouth or ampicillin IV: adult 2.0 g, child 50 mg/kg Penicillin allergic: clindamycin (adult 600 mg, child 20 mg/kg), or cephalixin or cefadroxil (adults 2.0 g, child 50 mg/kg), or azithromycin or clarithromycin (adult 500 mg, child 15 mg/kg), or cefazolin (adult 1.0 g, child 25 mg/kg IV or IM), or vancomycin (adult 1.0 g, child 10-20 mg/kg IV).		

Syst-pulm, Systemic-pulmonary; *synth vasc*, synthetic vascular; *CABG*, coronary artery bypass graft; *IM*, intramuscular; *IV*, intravenous.

greater efficacy and fewer associated complications.³⁰ Six studies with EVL have reported bacteremia rates ranging from 1% to 25%, with a mean frequency of 8.8%.³¹⁻³⁶

The incidence of bacteremia also has been estimated in what generally are considered "low-risk procedures." During gastroscopy with or without biopsy, the range is from 0% to 8%, with a mean frequency of 4.4%.³⁷⁻⁴⁵ The bacteremia observed usually was short lived (less than 30 minutes) and was not associated with any infectious complications. The rate of bacteremia associated with flexible sigmoidoscopy in two studies was low at 0% and 1%.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷ Rates of bacteremia associated with colonoscopy ranged from 0% to 25%, with a mean frequency of 4.4%.¹⁷

No studies, to date, that use antibiotic prophylaxis have demonstrated a clinically meaningful reduction in infectious complications during endoscopic procedures.^{29,48} In addition, antibiotic prophylaxis for infective endocarditis in patients undergoing GI endoscopic procedures is not always successful.⁴⁹ One case-control study suggested that antibiotic prophylaxis may not affect the incidence of post-procedure endocarditis.⁵⁰ Other studies report that compliance with existing regimens is poor.⁵¹⁻⁵³

Antibiotic guidelines established for prophylaxis against infective endocarditis should be reserved for those patients with the highest risk for infection (see Table 1). Indiscriminate use of antibiotics in association with GI endoscopic procedures is to be

discouraged, as it adds unnecessary cost and the potential for adverse reactions.

CONSENSUS STATEMENTS FOR ANTIBIOTIC PROPHYLAXIS DURING GI ENDOSCOPIC PROCEDURES

Prophylaxis against infective endocarditis

Cardiac lesions proposed to be at high risk for the development of infective endocarditis include the following⁵⁴: prosthetic cardiac valves, including bioprosthetic and homograft valves; previous bacterial endocarditis; surgically constructed systemic pulmonary shunts or conduits; complex cyanotic congenital heart disease (e.g., single ventricle states, transposition of the great arteries, tetralogy of Fallot).

Cardiac lesions or conditions that do not confer an increased risk of endocarditis over that of the general population include the following⁵⁴: previous coronary artery bypass graft surgery; cardiac pacemakers and implanted defibrillators; mitral valve prolapse or previous rheumatic fever without valvular dysfunction or regurgitation; isolated secundum atrial septal defect (ASD); surgical repair of ASD, ventricular septal defect, or patent ductus arteriosus; physiologic, functional, or innocent heart murmurs; previous Kawasaki disease without valvular dysfunction.

Other cardiac lesions or conditions may be associated with an increased risk of infective endocarditis over the general population but less than the “high-risk” lesions listed above. These “intermediate risks” include the following⁵⁴: most other congenital cardiac malformations (other than listed above); acquired valvular dysfunction (e.g., rheumatic heart disease); hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; mitral valve prolapse with valvular regurgitation and/or thickened leaflets.

Recommendations.

1. For most endoscopic procedures, including upper endoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, and colonoscopy with or without mucosal biopsy, polypectomy, and/or nonvariceal hemostasis:
 - a. Antibiotic prophylaxis is not recommended for patients with lesions at intermediate risk for the development of endocarditis or those with lesions or conditions at no increased risk for endocarditis compared with the general population. For example, patients with mitral valve prolapse, with or without regurgitation, do not require prophylaxis for any of the above procedures.
 - b. There are insufficient data to recommend routine prophylaxis for patients at “high risk” for infective endocarditis. The endoscopist may consider prophylaxis on a case-by-case basis.

2. For endoscopic procedures associated with increased rates of transient bacteremia, including dilation of an esophageal stricture, varix sclerotherapy, and retrograde cholangiography with known or suspected bile duct obstruction:
 - a. Prophylaxis is recommended for patients at “high risk” for the development of endocarditis.
 - b. No prophylaxis is recommended for patients with those cardiac lesions and conditions at no increased risk for infective endocarditis over the general population. However, it is recommended that all patients with suspected or known biliary obstruction should receive prophylactic antibiotics before ERCP.
 - c. There are insufficient data to recommend routine prophylaxis for patients with cardiac lesions or conditions at intermediate risk for the development of infective endocarditis. The endoscopist may consider prophylaxis on a case-by-case basis.
3. Regimens⁵⁴:
 - a. Standard general prophylaxis: amoxicillin 2.0 g by mouth (adult) or 50 mg/kg by mouth (child), 1 hour before the procedure. Alternative for those unable to take by mouth is ampicillin 2.0 g IV/IM (adult) or 50 mg/kg IV/IM (child), within 30 minutes before procedure.
 - b. Penicillin-allergic patients: clindamycin 600 mg by mouth (adult) or 20 mg/kg by mouth (child), 1 hour before procedure. Alternatives: cephalexin or cefadroxil 2.0 g by mouth (adult) or 50 mg/kg by mouth (child), 1 hour before the procedure; azithromycin or clarithromycin 500 mg by mouth (adult) or 15 mg/kg by mouth (child), 1 hour before the procedure.
 - c. Penicillin-allergic patients unable to take by mouth: clindamycin 600 mg IV (adult) or 20 mg/kg IV (child), within 30 minutes before the procedure. Alternative: cefazolin 1.0 g IV/IM (adult) or 25 mg/kg IV/IM (child) within 30 minutes before the procedure; vancomycin 1.0 g IV (adult) or 10-20 mg/kg (child).

The patient with a synthetic vascular graft

Infection of synthetic vascular graft material is associated with devastating morbidity and mortality, but the risk of a graft infection decreases with time. In dogs infected with high inocula of *Staphylococcus aureus* after replacement of an infrarenal aortic Dacron graft, graft infection occurred in all dogs 1 month after replacement of the graft, but in far fewer dogs 1 year after.⁵⁵ A single parental dose of antibiotic significantly decreased the infection rate, and infection did not occur in grafts after complete pseudointimal cover-

age. It is reasonable to expect that pseudointimal coverage should be complete in 1 year.⁵⁶

Recommendation. For up to the first year after placement of a synthetic vascular graft, antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended for patients undergoing esophageal stricture dilation, varix sclerosis, or retrograde cholangiography with known or suspected bile duct obstruction. For other endoscopic procedures, there are insufficient data to recommend routine prophylaxis. The endoscopist may consider prophylaxis on a case-by-case basis.

The patient with a prosthetic joint or orthopedic prosthesis

Iatrogenic infection of prosthetic joints after endoscopic procedures is extremely rare. There has been only one case report of an infectious complication (pyogenic arthritis of the knee) associated with an endoscopic procedure (Nd:YAG laser treatment of an inoperable esophageal cancer).⁵⁷ A survey conducted among program directors of infectious disease training fellowships highlighted their practice recommendations with regard to infection prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic orthopedic devices undergoing GI procedures. Most respondents agreed that prophylaxis is not indicated at any time for these procedures. There was, however, an almost even split when confronted with the scenario of a colon polypectomy performed within 6 months of prosthesis insertion.⁵⁸

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to recommend antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with prosthetic joints or orthopedic prosthesis undergoing GI endoscopic procedures.

The patient with biliary obstruction, pancreatic pseudocyst, or pancreatic cystic lesion requiring FNA

Cholangitis and sepsis are known complications of ERCP, occurring in up to 3.0% of cases. Obstructed ducts and inadequate drainage increase the risk of clinically significant infection.⁵⁹⁻⁶⁹ A variety of organisms have been shown to cause infection in such patients. Antibiotic testing of biliary cultures has demonstrated that most organisms are responsive to fluoroquinolones.⁷⁰ A recent meta-analysis demonstrated a trend toward decreased bacteremia in such patients with prophylactic antibiotics.⁷¹ However, there appeared to be no significant differences in sepsis between those patients who received preprocedural antibiotics and those who did not. A recent decision analysis demonstrated fewer cases of cholangitis and a cost savings in those procedures that used prophylactic antibiotics.⁷² In agreement with the above findings, many randomized, controlled trials have demon-

strated conflicting results, although it appears that prophylactic antibiotics in those patients in whom biliary drainage has been compromised (choledocholithiasis, malignancy, etc.) may be beneficial.⁷²⁻⁸¹

Pseudocysts. Retrograde pancreatography and, less clearly, EUS-guided FNA may introduce infection into pancreatic pseudocysts.^{62,66,82} Definitive treatment is that of decompression and drainage. There are no randomized, controlled trials to compare antibiotic prophylaxis with placebo. However, because of their risk of infection, antibiotic prophylaxis appears prudent.

EUS-guided FNA of solid/cystic lesions. No clinically significant bacteremia was found in one study evaluating the efficacy of FNA during EUS of masses.⁸² The use of prophylactic antibiotic administration in EUS-guided FNA of pancreatic cysts has not been clearly studied by randomized, controlled trials. A subgroup analysis of patients with cysts undergoing FNA demonstrated a 14% risk of infectious complications.⁸³

Recommendation. All patients undergoing ERCP for known or suspected biliary obstruction or known pancreatic pseudocyst should receive antibiotics along with adequate drainage of the biliary obstruction or cyst. Endoscopic transmural drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts, similarly, may result in the introduction of infection into the cystic cavity. In addition, the EUS-guided aspiration of pancreatic cystic lesions also may result in introduction of infection. Although not supported by randomized, controlled trials, the use of prophylactic antibiotics before attempted drainage of such pseudocysts and similar pancreatic lesions is recommended. Antibiotics that cover biliary flora such as enteric gram-negative organisms, enterococci, and possibly *Pseudomonas* sp. are recommended. Prophylactic antibiotics do not appear to be necessary before FNA of solid masses.

The endoscopic placement of a percutaneous feeding tube

Multiple prospective, randomized, controlled trials have demonstrated significantly lower peristomal wound infection rates when prophylactic antibiotics were administered 30 minutes before percutaneous gastrostomy tube placement.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁸ However, a few randomized trials have demonstrated no significant reduction of peristomal wound infection with the administration of preprocedure antibiotics.^{89,90} A recent meta-analysis evaluated seven trials, 3 of which were not blinded. The per-protocol analysis demonstrated a significant decrease in the peristomal wound infection rate in patients who received antibiotic prophylaxis compared with those who did not (6.4% vs. 24%).⁹¹

Recommendation. All patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic placement of a feeding tube should receive prophylaxis to prevent soft tissue infection. Parenteral cefazolin (or an antibiotic with equivalent coverage) should be given 30 minutes before the procedure. If the patient is already on an equivalent antibiotic, prophylactic antibiotic administration remains unnecessary.

The patient with cirrhosis, ascites, and the immunocompromised patient

Aside from case studies and retrospective reports, there are few data to guide recommendations for the administration of prophylactic antibiotics before routine endoscopy in patients with cirrhosis, ascites, or immunosuppression.

Injection sclerosis. Bacteremia may occur in up to 50% of patients after sclerotherapy.^{23,25,32,47,92-96} Clinically significant febrile episodes and/or bacterial peritonitis have been reported in up to 5% of patients during clinical trials.^{92-94,96-99} Reported complications also have included CNS infections and pulmonary infiltrates. Bacteremia occurring after gastric variceal sclerotherapy was evaluated in one study and was found to be present in approximately 32% of the procedures. Roughly a third of this cohort developed fever and 50% of these patients were given antibiotics.¹⁰⁰ A few randomized, controlled trials of antibiotic therapy support the administration of antibiotics before sclerotherapy.^{48,101-103} However, the investigators in these trials were not blinded to the administration of antibiotics vs. placebo. One randomized trial demonstrated a reduced incidence of peritonitis after sclerotherapy in those patients who received preprocedural cefotaxime.⁴⁸

Endoscopic variceal ligation. Bacteremia has been reported to occur in up to 25% of patients after variceal ligation. The frequency of peritonitis reported to occur after variceal ligation appears to be less than 5%.^{31,33,35-36} There are no randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials of antibiotic prophylaxis in this setting.

GI hemorrhage. Hospital admission for GI hemorrhage has been strongly associated with an increased risk for infections (especially bacterial peritonitis) in cirrhotic patients.^{31,48,94} GI bleeding is presently considered an independent risk factor for the development of infection in cirrhotic patients.⁹⁷ Antibiotic administration has been shown to reduce infectious complications and mortality in cirrhotic patients presenting with GI hemorrhage.^{48,101-103}

Stricture dilation. Stricture dilation in otherwise immunocompetent patients was discussed previously. The rate of clinically significant bacteremia in the

immunocompromised patient after esophageal dilation remains to be determined.

Recommendations. Cirrhotic and otherwise immunocompromised patients are susceptible to infections from transient bacteremia, which occurs more often in high-risk invasive procedures.¹⁰⁴⁻¹¹³ The endoscopist should consider prophylaxis on a case-by-case basis in these high-risk procedures, such as esophageal sclerotherapy and stricture dilation. All cirrhotics presenting with GI bleeding should receive prophylactic antibiotics.^{31,48,94,100-102}

For other endoscopic procedures, including prophylactic EVL, routine antibiotic prophylaxis is not recommended. However, the decision to administer antibiotic prophylaxis should be made on a case-by-case basis. Cirrhotic patients with ascites appear to be at a potentially higher risk for infection. In addition, transplant patients on high doses of steroids also appear to have increased susceptibility to infection. The choice of antibiotic should be tailored to the specific perceived risk.

SUMMARY

For the following points: (A), Prospective controlled trials; (B), observational studies; (C), expert opinion.

- Antibiotic prophylaxis against infective endocarditis is recommended when a high-risk patient is undergoing an endoscopic procedure associated with a high incidence for transient bacteremia. (C)
- Patients undergoing high-risk endoscopic procedures who have a synthetic vascular graft less than 1 year old also should receive antibiotic prophylaxis. (C)
- There is no clear benefit or consensus in the use of prophylactic antibiotics in patients with a prosthetic joint or an orthopedic prosthesis undergoing any endoscopic procedure. (C)
- All patients undergoing ERCP for known or suspected biliary obstruction or known pancreatic pseudocyst should receive antibiotics with adequate drainage of the biliary obstruction (A) or cyst. (C)
- Prophylactic antibiotics are recommended for EUS-guided aspiration of pancreatic cystic lesions but not before FNA of solid masses. (C)
- All patients undergoing endoscopic placement of a percutaneous feeding tube should receive prophylactic antibiotics to limit the risk of soft-tissue infection. (A)
- All patients with cirrhosis who present with GI bleeding should receive prophylactic antibiotics to decrease infectious complications and mortality. (A)

REFERENCES

1. ASGE. Antibiotic prophylaxis for gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1995;42:630-5.

2. Rumfeld W, Wallace G, Scott BB. Bacterial endocarditis after endoscopy. *Lancet* 1980;II:1083.
3. Shorvon PJ, Eykyn SJ, Cotton PB. Gastrointestinal instrumentation, bacteremia, and endocarditis. *Gut* 1983;24:1078-93.
4. Yin TP, Dellipiani AW. Bacterial endocarditis after Hurst bougienage in a patient with a benign oesophageal stricture. *Endoscopy* 1983;15:27-8.
5. Rodriguez W, Levine JS. Enterococcal endocarditis following flexible sigmoidoscopy. *West J Med* 1984;140:951-3.
6. Murray JL. Enterococcal endocarditis after sigmoidoscopy. *West J Med* 1984;141:689-90.
7. Rigilano J, Mahapatra R, Barnhill J, Gutierrez J. Enterococcal endocarditis following sigmoidoscopy and mitral valve prolapse. *Arch Intern Med* 1984;144:850-1.
8. Niv Y, Bat L, Motro M. Bacterial endocarditis after Hurst bougienage in a patient with benign esophageal stricture and mitral valve prolapse. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1985;31:265-7.
9. Logan RF, Hastings JGM. Bacterial endocarditis: a complication of gastroscopy. *Br Med J* 1988;296:1107.
10. Watanakunakorn C. *Streptococcus bovis* endocarditis associated with villous adenoma following colonoscopy. *Am Heart J* 1988;116:1115-6.
11. Baskin G. Prosthetic endocarditis after endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy: a failure of antibiotic prophylaxis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1989;84:311-2.
12. Norfleet RG. Infectious endocarditis after fiberoptic sigmoidoscopy. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1991;13:448-51.
13. Pritchard TM, Foust RT, Cantej JR, Leman RB. Prosthetic valve endocarditis due to *Cardiobacterium hominis* occurring after upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Am J Med* 1991;90:516-8.
14. Wong A, Rosenstein AH, Rutherford RE, James SP. Bacterial endocarditis following endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1997;24:90-1.
15. Breuer GS, Yinnon AM, Halevy J. Infective endocarditis associated with upper endoscopy. *J Infect* 1998;36:342-4.
16. Montalto M, LaRegina M, Gemelli P, Manna R, Gasbarrini G. Mitral valve endocarditis caused by *Streptococcus oralis* occurring after upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:2149-50.
17. Nelson DB. Infectious disease complications of gastrointestinal endoscopy. Part I: endogenous infections. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2003;57:546-56.
18. Botoman VA, Surawicz CM. Bacteremia with gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1986;32:342-6.
19. Raines DR, Branche WC, Anderson DL, Boyce HW. The occurrence of bacteremia after esophageal dilation. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1975;22:86-7.
20. Stephenson PM, Dorrington L, Harris OD, Rao A. Bacteraemia following oesophageal dilation and oesophago-gastroscopy. *Aust N Z J Med* 1977;7:32-5.
21. Welsh JD, Griffiths WJ, McKee J, Wilkinson D, Flournoy DJ, Mohr JA. Bacteremia associated with esophageal dilation. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1983;5:109-12.
22. Yin TP, Ellis R, Dellipiani AW. The incidence of bacteremia after outpatient Hurst bougienage in the management of benign esophageal stricture. *Endoscopy* 1983;15:289-90.
23. Camara DS, Grunber M, Barde CJ, Montes M, Caruana JA, Chung RS. Transient bacteremia following endoscopic injection sclerotherapy of esophageal varices. *Arch Intern Med* 1983;143:1350-2.
24. Cohen LB, Korsten MA, Scherl EJ, Velez ME, Fisse RD, Arons EJ. Bacteremia after endoscopic injection sclerosis. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 1983;29:198-200.
25. Brayko CM, Kozarek RA, Sanowski RA, Testa AW. Bacteremia during esophageal variceal sclerotherapy: its cause and prevention. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1985;31:10-2.
26. Snady H, Korsten MA, Waye JD. The relationship of bacteremia to the length of injection needle in endoscopic variceal sclerotherapy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1985;31:243-6.
27. Zuccaro G, Richter JE, Rice TW, Achkar E, Easley K, Lewis J, et al. Viridans streptococcal bacteremia after esophageal stricture dilation. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;48:568-73.
28. Nelson DB, Sanderson SJ, Azar MM. Bacteremia with esophageal dilation. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;48:563-7.
29. Hirota WK, Wortmann GW, Maydonovitch CL, Chang AS, Midkiff RB, Wong RKH, et al. The effect of oral decontamination with clindamycin palmitate on the incidence of bacteremia after esophageal dilation. A prospective trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;50:475-9.
30. Laine L, Cook D. Endoscopic ligation compared with sclerotherapy for treatment of esophageal variceal bleeding: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;123:280-7.
31. Lo G, Lai K, Shen M, Chang C. A comparison of the incidence of transient bacteremia and infectious sequelae after sclerotherapy and rubber band ligation of bleeding esophageal varices. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1994;40:675-9.
32. Lo G, Lai K, Cheng J, Hwu J, Chang C, Chen S, et al. A prospective, randomized trial of sclerotherapy versus ligation in the management of bleeding esophageal varices. *Hepatology* 1995;22:466-71.
33. Tseng C, Green RM, Burke SK, Connors PJ, Carr-Locke DL. Bacteremia after endoscopic band ligation of esophageal varices. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1992;38:336-7.
34. Berner JS, Gaing AA, Sharma R, Almenoff PL, Muhlfelder T, Korsten MA. Sequelae after esophageal variceal ligation and sclerotherapy: a prospective randomized study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1994;89:852-8.
35. Rohr MR, Siqueira ES, Brant CQ, Morais M, Libera ED, Castro RR, et al. Prospective study of bacteremia rate after elastic band ligation and sclerotherapy of esophageal varices in patients with hepatosplenic schistosomiasis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1997;46:321-3.
36. Lin OS, Wu S, Chen Y, Soon M. Bacterial peritonitis after elective endoscopic variceal ligation: a prospective study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:214-7.
37. Linnemann C, Weisman E, Wenger J. Blood cultures following endoscopy of the esophagus and stomach. *South Med J* 1971;64:1055 & 1062.
38. Shull HJ, Greene BM, Allen SD, Dunn GD, Schenker S. Bacteremia with upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Ann Intern Med* 1975;83:212-4.
39. Libermann TR. Bacteremia and fibroptic endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1976;23:36-7.
40. Mellow MH, Lewis RJ. Endoscopy-related bacteremia. *Arch Intern Med* 1976;136:667-9.
41. Baltch AL, Buhac I, Agrawal A, O'Connor P, Bram M, Malatino E. Bacteremia after upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Arch Intern Med* 1977;137:594-7.
42. Stray N, Midtvedt T, Valnes K, Rossenland A, Pytte R, Hovik B. Endoscopy-related bacteremia. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1978;13:345-7.
43. Kirk A, Graham-Brown R, Peripanayagam RM, Smith RG, Barnardo DE. Bacteremia and upper gastrointestinal fibre-endoscopy. *J R Soc Med* 1979;72:409-11.
44. Norfleet RG, Mitchell PD, Mulholland D, Philo J. Does bacteremia follow upper gastrointestinal endoscopy? *Am J Gastroenterol* 1981;76:420-2.
45. O'Connor HJ, Hamilton I, Lincoln C, Maxwell S, Axon ATR.

- Bacteremia with upper gastrointestinal endoscopy: a reappraisal. *Endoscopy* 1983;15:21-3.
46. Goldman GD, Miller SA, Furman DS, Brock D, Ryan JL, McCallum RW. Does bacteremia occur during flexible sigmoidoscopy? *Am J Gastroenterol* 1985;80:621-3.
 47. Llach J, Elizalde JI, Bordas JM, Gines A, Almela M, Sans M, et al. Prospective assessment of the risk of bacteremia in cirrhotic patients undergoing lower intestinal endoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:214-7.
 48. Selby WS, Norton ID, Pokorny CS, Benn RAV. Bacteremia and bacterascites after endoscopic sclerotherapy for bleeding esophageal varices and prevention by intravenous cefotaxime: a randomized trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1994;40:680-4.
 49. Durack DT, Kaplan EL, Bisno AL. Apparent failures of endocarditis prophylaxis. *JAMA* 1983;250:2318-22.
 50. VanDermeer JTM, VanWijk W, Thompson J, Vanderbroucke JP, Valkenburg HA, Michel MF. Efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis for prevention of native-endocarditis. *Lancet* 1992;339:135-9.
 51. Meyer GW. Prophylaxis of infective endocarditis during gastrointestinal procedures: report of a survey. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1979;25:1-2.
 52. Brooks RG, Notario G, McCabe RE. Hospital survey of antimicrobial prophylaxis to prevent endocarditis in patients with prosthetic heart valves. *Am J Med* 1988;84:617-21.
 53. Sandowsky D, Kunzel C. Recommendations for prevention of bacterial endocarditis: compliance by dental practitioners. *Circulation*. 1988;77:1316-8.
 54. Dajani AS, Taubert KA, Wilson W, Bolger AF, Bayer A, Ferrieri P, et al. Prevention of bacterial endocarditis. Recommendation by the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1997;96:358-66.
 55. Malone JM, Moore WS, Campagna G, Bean B. Bacteremic infectability of vascular grafts: the influence of pseudointimal integrity and duration of graft function. *Surgery* 1975;78:211-6.
 56. Moore WS, Rosson CT, Hall AD. Effect of prophylactic antibiotics in preventing bacteremic infection of vascular prosthesis. *Surgery* 1971;69:825-8.
 57. Scott NA, Tweedle DEF. Pyogenic arthritis of the knee following Nd:YAG laser destruction of an esophageal cancer. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990;36:545-6.
 58. Meyer GW. Antibiotic prophylaxis for orthopedic prostheses and GI procedures: report of a survey. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:989-92.
 59. Zimmon DS, Falkenstein DB, Riccobono C, Aaron B. Complications of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: analysis of 300 consecutive cases. *Gastroenterology* 1975;69:303-9.
 60. Bilbao MK, Dotter CT, Lee TG, Katon RM. Complications of endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP): a study of 10,000 cases. *Gastroenterology* 1976;70:314-20.
 61. Neuhaus B, Safrany L. Complications of endoscopic sphincterotomy and their treatment. *Endoscopy* 1981;13:197-9.
 62. Leese T, Neoptolemos JP, Carr-Locke DL. Successes, failures, early complications and their management following endoscopic sphincterotomy: results in 394 consecutive patients from a single centre. *Br J Surg* 1985;72:215-9.
 63. Vaira D, D'Anna L, Ainley C, Dowsett J, Williams S, Baillie J, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy in 1000 consecutive patients. *Lancet* 1989;II:431-3.
 64. Deviere J, Motte S, Dumonceau JM, Serruys E, Thys JP, Cremer M. Septicemia after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Endoscopy* 1990;22:72-5.
 65. Cotton PB, Lehman G, Vennes J, Geenen JE, Russell RCG, Meyers WC, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy complications and their management: an attempt at consensus. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1991;37:383-93.
 66. Freeman ML, Nelson DB, Sherman S, Haber GB, Herman ME, Dorsher PJ, et al. Complications of endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy. *N Engl J Med* 1996;335:909-18.
 67. Loperfido S, Angelini G, Benedetti G, Chilovi F, Costan F, De Berardinis F, et al. Major early complications from diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP: a prospective multicenter study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1998;48:1-10.
 68. Masci E, Toti G, Mariani A, Curioni S, Lomazzi A, Dinelli M, et al. Complications of diagnostic and therapeutic ERCP: a prospective multicenter study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:417-23.
 69. Loranz R, Herrman M, Kassem AM, Lehn N, Neuhaus H, Classen M. Microbiological examinations and in-vitro testing of different antibiotics in therapeutic endoscopy of the biliary system. *Endoscopy* 1998;30:708-12.
 70. Lai EC, Lo CM, Choi TK, Cheng WK, Fran ST, Wong J. Urgent biliary decompression after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Am J Surg* 1989;157:121-5.
 71. Harris A, Chan ACH, Torres-Viera C, Hammett R, Carr-Locke D. Meta-analysis of antibiotic prophylaxis in endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). *Endoscopy* 1999;31:718-24.
 72. Thompson BF, Arguedas ME, Wilcox CM. Antibiotic prophylaxis prior to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in patients with obstructive jaundice: is it worth the cost? *Alimentary Pharmacol Ther* 2002;16:727-34.
 73. Niederau C, Pohlmann U, Lubke H, Thomas L. Prophylactic antibiotic treatment in therapeutic or complicated diagnostic ERCP: results of a randomized, controlled study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1994;40:533-7.
 74. Leung JW, Venezuela RR. Cholangiosepsis: endoscopic drainage and antibiotic therapy. *Endoscopy* 1991;23:220-3.
 75. Sauter G, Grabein B, Huber G, Mannes GA, Ruckdeschel G, Sauerbruch T. Antibiotic prophylaxis of infectious complications with endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. A randomized controlled study. *Endoscopy* 1990;22:164-7.
 76. Byl B, Deviere J, Struelens MJ, Roucloux J, DeConinck A, Thys JP, et al. Antibiotic prophylaxis for infectious complications after therapeutic endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 1995;20:1236-40.
 77. Finkelstein R, Yassin K, Suissa A, Lavy A, Eidelman S. Failure of cefonicid prophylaxis for infectious complications related to endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996;23:378-9.
 78. van der Hazel SJ, Speelman R, Dankert J, Huibregste K, Tytgat GN, van Leeuwen DJ. Piperacillin to prevent cholangitis after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1996;125:442-7.
 79. Mehal WZ, Culshaw KD, Tillotson GS, Chapman RW. Antibiotic prophylaxis for ERCP: a randomized clinical trial comparing ciprofloxacin and cefuroxime in 200 patients at high risk for cholangitis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1995;7:841-5.
 80. Brandes JW, Scheffer B, Lorenz-Meyer H, Korst HA, Littman KP. ERCP: complications and prophylaxis. A controlled study. *Endoscopy* 1981;13:27-30.
 81. Dutta SK, Cox M, Williams RB, Eisenstat TE, Standiford HC. Prospective evaluation of the risk of bacteremia and the role of antibiotics in ERCP. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1983;5:325-9.
 82. Barawi M, Gottlieb K, Cunha B, Portis M, Gress F. A prospective evaluation of the incidence of bacteremia associated

- with EUS-guided fine-needle aspiration. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:189-92.
83. Wiersema MJ, Vilmann P, Giovannini M, Chang K, Wiersema L. Endosonography guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy: diagnostic accuracy and complication assessment. *Gastroenterology* 1997;112:1087-95
 84. Jain NK, Larson DE, Schroeder KW, Burton DD, Cannon KP, Thompson RL, DiMagno EP. Antibiotic prophylaxis for percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. A prospective, randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Ann Intern Med* 1987;107:824-8.
 85. Panigrahi H, Shreeve DR, Tan WC, Prudham R, Kaufman R. Role of antibiotic prophylaxis for wound infection in percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG): result of a prospective double-blind randomized controlled trial. *J Hosp Infect* 2002;50:312-5.
 86. Gossner L, Keymling J, Hahn EG, Ell C. Antibiotic prophylaxis in percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG): a prospective, randomized clinical trial. *Endoscopy* 1999;31:119-24
 87. Dormann AJ, Wigglinghaus B, Risius H, Kleimann F, Kloppenborg A, Grunewald T, et al. A single dose of ceftriaxone administered 30 minutes before percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy significantly reduces local and systemic infective complications. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:3220-4.
 88. Preclik G, Grune S, Leser HG, Lebherz J, Heldwein W, Machka K, et al. Prospective, randomised, double blind trial of prophylaxis with single dose of co-amoxiclav before percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. *BMJ* 1999;319:881-4
 89. Jonas SK, Neimark S, Panwalker AP. Effect of antibiotic prophylaxis in percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1985;80:438-41.
 90. Sturgis TM, Yancy W, Cole JC, Proctor DD, Minhas BS, Marcuard SP. Antibiotic prophylaxis in percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1996;91:2301-4.
 91. Sharma V, Howden CW. Meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials of antibiotic prophylaxis before percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2000;95:3133-6.
 92. Schembre D, Bjorkman DJ. Post-sclerotherapy bacterial peritonitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1991;86:481-5.
 93. Gerhartz HH, Sauerbruch T, Weinzierl M, Ruckdeschel G. Nosocomial septicemia in patients undergoing sclerotherapy for variceal hemorrhage. *Endoscopy* 1984;16:129-30.
 94. Bac DJ, de Marie S, Siersema, Snoble J, van Buuren HR. Post-sclerotherapy bacterial peritonitis: a complication of sclerotherapy or of variceal bleeding? *Am J Gastroenterol* 1994;89:859-62
 95. de la Pena J, Rivero M, Sanchez E, Fabrega E, Crespo J, Pons-Romero F. Variceal ligation compared with endoscopic sclerotherapy for variceal hemorrhage: prospective randomized trial. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:417-23.
 96. Tam F, Chow H, Prindiville T, Cornish D, Haulk T, Trudeau W, Hoepflich P. Bacterial peritonitis following esophageal injection sclerotherapy for variceal hemorrhage. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1990;36:131-3.
 97. Deschenes M, Villeneuve JP. Risk factors for the development of bacterial infections in hospitalized patients with cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:2193-7.
 98. Umehara M, Onda M, Tajiri T, Toba M, Yoshida H, Yamashita K. Sclerotherapy plus ligation versus ligation for the treatment of esophageal varices: a prospective randomized study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;50:7-12.
 99. Al Traif I, Fachartz FS, Al Jumah A, Al Johani M, al-Omair A, al-Bakr F, al-Knawy B, el-Hafi A, Khan MH. Randomized trial of ligation versus combined ligation and sclerotherapy for bleeding esophageal varices. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;50:1-6.
 100. Chen WC, Hou MC, Lin HC, Yu KW, Lee FY, Chang FY, et al. Bacteremia after endoscopic injection of N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate for gastric variceal bleeding. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;54:214-8.
 101. Rimola A, Bory F, Teres J, Perez-Ayuso RM, Arroyo V, Rodes J. Oral, nonabsorbable antibiotics prevent infection in cirrhotics with gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Hepatology* 1985;5:463-7.
 102. Blaise M, Pateron D, Trinchet JC, Levacher S, Beaugrand M, Pourriat JL, et al. Systemic antibiotic therapy prevents bacterial infection in cirrhotic patients with gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Hepatology* 1994;20:34-8
 103. Soriano G, Guarner C, Tomas A, Villanueva C, Torras X, Gonzales D, et al. Norfloxacin prevents bacterial infection in cirrhotics with gastrointestinal hemorrhage. *Gastroenterology* 1992;103:1267-72
 104. Petersen JH, Weesner RE, Giannella RA. *Escherichia coli* peritonitis after left-sided colonoscopy in a patient on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1987;82:171-2.
 105. Lee M, Munoz J. Septicemia occurring after colonoscopic polypectomy in a splenectomized patient taking corticosteroids. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1994;89:2245-6.
 106. Shemesh O, Bornstein IB, Weissberg N, Braveman DZ, Rudensky B. Listeria septicemia after colonoscopy in an ulcerative colitis patient receiving ACTH. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1990;85:216.
 107. Thornton JR, Losowsky MS. Septicaemia after colonoscopy in patients with cirrhosis. *Gut* 1991;32:450-1.
 108. Kow K, Przepiorka D, Sekas G. Infectious complications of endoscopic procedures in bone marrow transplant recipients. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:71-4.
 109. Bianco JA, Pepe MS, Higano C, Appenbaum FR, McDonald GB, Singer JW. Prevalence of clinically relevant bacteremia after upper gastrointestinal endoscopy in bone marrow transplant recipients. *Am J Med* 1990;89:134-5.
 110. Jimenez-Saenz, Gomez-Rodriguez BJ, Carmona I, Rebollo J, Torres Y, Rodriguez-Banos J, Herrerias-Gutierrez JM. *Salmonella dublin* infection: a rare cause of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and chronic colitis in alcoholic liver cirrhosis. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2001;13:587-9.
 111. Mabee CL, Mabee SW, Kirkpatrick RB, Koletar SL. Cirrhosis: a risk factor for cryptococcal peritonitis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995;90:2042-5.
 112. Shrake PD, Troiano F, Rex DK. Peritonitis following colonoscopy in a cirrhotic with ascites. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1989;84:453-4.
 113. Verger C, Danne O, Vuillemin F. Colonoscopy and continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1987;33:334-5.

Prepared by:

STANDARDS OF PRACTICE COMMITTEE

William K. Hirota, MD
 Kathryn Petersen, MD
 Todd H. Baron, MD
 Jay L. Goldstein, MD
 Brian C. Jacobson, MD
 Jonathan A. Leighton, MD
 J. Shawn Mallery, MD
 J. Patrick Waring, MD
 Robert D. Fanelli, MD, SAGES Representative
 Jo Wheeler-Harbough, RN, SGNA Representative
 Douglas O. Faigel, MD, Chair